

# ***HATE COMES TO TOWN***

***THE LIES AND POISON OF THE  
SEARCHLIGHT  
"EDUCATIONAL" TRUST  
DOCUMENTED, EXPOSED AND  
DECONSTRUCTED***

## *To The Reader*

This short study is the latest in a series of educational pamphlets produced by the current writer as part of his ongoing *exposé* of the Searchlight Organisation. It was originally intended for publication sometime in 1996 but for all the usual reasons its completion and publication were delayed. I am sure though that the reader will consider this delay worthwhile.

## *A Critique Of The Searchlight Educational Trust*

In November 1995, the Searchlight Educational Trust, which is one arm of the many tentacled Searchlight Organisation, published a large format "Community Handbook" called *When hate comes to town: Community Responses to Racism and Fascism*. Edited by Ruth Levin, the Trust's director, this loose leaf, lavishly illustrated publication was launched in Westminster at a presentation chaired by Mike Gapes, MP for Ilford South. (1) On his right sat SET director Levin; on his left sat Gerry Gable, Chairman of Searchlight Information Services. Mr Gable's post as Chairman/Director of SIS is funded by the London Boroughs Grants Committee. (2) Miss Levin's post and the Searchlight Educational Trust itself, are both funded by charities, in particular the Barrow Cadbury Trust and the Rowntree Trust. (3)

*When Hate Comes To Town* has attracted widespread support and endorsement, particularly in Manchester. It has been plugged unremittingly by *Searchlight* magazine since October 1995; an article in the March 1996 issue is illustrated with a photograph of Bill Williams, Honorary President of Manchester Jewish Museum, presenting a copy of the handbook to the Lord Mayor of Manchester. Mr Williams also chaired the meeting of the North West media launch where he told his audience that "we have a society in which racist and fascist groups are allowed to thrive (4)...What we need is the sort of action we learn about in the handbook." (5)

Someone else who was equally impressed with this "Community Handbook" was Tariq Ajiz of Oldham Action Against Racism, who said that it included something for everyone. (6)

The June 1996 issue of *Searchlight* contained a four page leaflet *Nazi hatred in 1996: What you can do to stop it*, which includes an appeal for donations. Doubtless some, or many, people who are every bit as misguided as Messrs Williams and Ajiz will dip into their pockets and open their cheque books to fund the Searchlight Educational Trust and other facets of the Searchlight Organisation. From personal experience I doubt very much if this short study will do anything to curtail such unwarranted generosity, but I still feel obligated to publish this critique, for those who will listen.

This slim monograph is not intended to be a comprehensive analysis of the lies, distortions and misrepresentations promoted, fostered and nurtured by the Searchlight "Community Handbook", but it contains more than enough documentation to expose it, and the people behind it, as purveyors of mischief rather than concerned guardians of democracy.

## *The Background To The Searchlight Educational Trust*

The Searchlight Educational Trust (henceforth SET) is both a limited company and a charity. It was founded in 1992; its MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION were incorporated 7th August that year, and it was registered 24th August. According to its CHARITY DETAILS on file with the Charity Commission, (7) the SET is a FUND RAISER and SERVICE PROVIDER; its aims include ADVANCEMENT AND EDUCATION OF CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE and EDUCATION AND RESEARCH INTO SPECIAL SUBJECTS, in particular THE PROMOTION OF GOOD RELATIONS BETWEEN PEOPLE OF DIFFERENT RACES AND RELIGIONS. These are fine words and laudable goals, but by the same token any convicted burglar can claim, truthfully, to have been engaged in the redistribution of wealth and to have helped police with their inquiries.

For further information on the Searchlight Educational Trust and the other arms of the Searchlight Organisation, the reader is referred to a number of the current writer's publications; they are all meticulously referenced and based on easily checkable public domain documents. (8) The rest of this study will be devoted to a critical analysis of *When Hate Comes To Town*.

## *When Hate Comes To Town: Critiqued And Deconstructed*

On page vii of the introduction the claim is made that Searchlight has "over 30 years of experience". In fighting the mythical Nazi menace, of course. On page xi, a heading *What is Searchlight?* says "Searchlight's aim is to combat racism, neo-nazism, fascism and all forms of prejudice." It is "a non-sectarian organisation in political, ethnic and religious terms." And reiterates that "Searchlight has existed for more than 30 years. In summer 1962, in response to a resurgence of open and violent neo-nazi activities, a group of people met in London from the Conservative, Labour and Liberal parties, various ethnic and religious communities and trade unions. They set up the Searchlight Association..."

And further that: "In 1964 *Searchlight* appeared for the first time in newspaper format as an occasional publication. Its research work led to the arrests and convictions of neo-nazi terrorist gangs who had carried out racial and antisemitic killings and firebombing campaigns."

There are so many lies and distortions here that it is difficult to know where to begin. Searchlight had *not*, at that time, existed for more than thirty years. The current writer has obtained copies of the accounts of the original Searchlight from Companies House. Called the Searchlight Association Limited, it was incorporated as a "COMPANY LIMITED BY GUARANTEE AND NOT HAVING A SHARE CAPITAL". Its "Memorandum of Association" (840295) is stamped by Companies House "REGISTERED - 9 MAR 1965".

The original directors of the Searchlight Organisation were Reginald Freeson, Maurice Orbach, Benjamin Mark Goodman, Baron Moss, Frederick Leonard Tonge, Philip Ian Page and William Douglas McClelland. The company secretary was Norman James Stenner. Both Freeson and Orbach were MPs, Freeson a leading Labour Zionist; Orbach was likewise a Zionist Jew. Baron Moss, another Jew, was director of Baron Moss Advertising Ltd; Goodman (who may have been Jewish) was a barrister; Tonge was a retired trade union official; Page an historian; and McClelland an economist.

The only name that appears in the records of both the original Searchlight Association and the current Searchlight (umbrella) Organisation is Baron Moss.

The above claim that Searchlight was founded in the summer of 1962 is, apparently, a reference to an entirely different organisation, the 1962 Committee, better known as the 62 Group, which was chaired by Baron Moss. (9) This organisation was anything but non-Sectarian; rather it was an all-Jewish bunch of street thugs which grew out of the non-Sectarian (and non-violent) Yellow Star Movement. (10) At this time the British Nazi leader, the charismatic but sorely misguided Colin Jordan, was employed as a teacher by the local authority in the City of Coventry.

On April 20, 1962 (11) he founded the National Socialist Movement, and on Sunday, July 1, he and his future Reich - a handful of supporters - held a rally in London's Trafalgar Square under the unforgettable slogan "Free Britain From Jewish Control". The meeting was broken up by the police after being disrupted by - mainly Jewish - thugs. It was probably this riot which can be said to have been the genesis of the 62 Group. The Searchlight Organisation's current controller Gerry Gable was a member of the 62 Group. (12) Information on the 62 Group is both scarce and unreliable; the people who ran it were scum, notorious liars, and were disliked by mainstream Jewish organisations. (13)

It will be seen from the above that the claim that Searchlight was set up by "a group of people [who] met in London from the Conservative, Labour and Liberal parties, various ethnic and religious communities and trade unions" is a gross distortion.

"In 1964 *Searchlight* appeared for the first time in newspaper format as an occasional publication."

Actually, the date is wrong; as far as Searchlight existed at all it published four issues of a broadsheet between 1965 and 1967. The four issues are dated as follows:

No 1 Spring 1965.

No 2 undated.

No 3 Spring 1967.

No 4 undated. (14)

"Its research work led to the arrests and convictions of neo-nazi terrorist gangs who had carried out racial and antisemitic killings and firebombing campaigns."

This is the biggest lie of all, it is a lie the current writer has refuted in print time and time again, yet one which Searchlight's controllers never tire of repeating, in spite of this. The full, documented story of the 1960s synagogue arsons can be found in two of the current writer's publications: *A REVISIONIST HISTORY OF THE 1960s SYNAGOGUE ARSONS...*, 2nd Edition Revised, Expanded And Updated, which was published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing in October, 1994; and *THE LIFE AND "CRIMES" OF JOHN COLIN CAMPBELL JORDAN...*, which was published by InfoText Manuscripts in July, 1995. This latter includes documentation on the attempts by the 62 Group to frame the Nazi leader Colin Jordan. Very briefly though, what actually happened in the 1960s was as follows.

In January 1965, a Jewish taxi driver named Wolfe Busell was fined and bound over on a charge of insulting behaviour. He had snatched a necklace from the neck of Mrs Françoise Jordan, the wife of Colin Jordan. (15) Unlike her husband, who has always been an ideological anti-Semite, the late Mrs Jordan was a fanatical Jew-hater who would later say she

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

wanted to see all synagogues burnt down by an Act of Parliament. The story about the necklace incident appeared in the London *Evening Standard* (WEST END FINAL CLOSING PRICES) for January 8, 1965. A photograph of Mrs Jordan appeared under the legend *TAXI-DRIVER TORE SWASTIKA NECKLACE OFF MRS. JORDAN*. Busell was said to have recognised Mrs Jordan when she flagged down his taxi, and refused her fare.

This may have been the inspiration, the catalyst, or simply the excuse, for what happened next, but over the following months there were a number of arson attacks on synagogues in London and elsewhere. (16) Two gangs of young men were eventually arrested and, at two separate trials the following year, they were given surprisingly light sentences for what could have been extremely serious crimes. No one was either killed or injured in any of the attacks. Mrs Jordan, who had incited the attacks, fled to her native France but later returned, and on January 17th, 1968, she was gaoled for 18 months for conspiracy.

In 1974, a pamphlet called *A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine*, accused Colin Jordan of plotting the synagogue arsons. This allegation was repeated in the April 1975 issue of *Searchlight*; the following year, Mr Jordan brought an action for criminal libel against *Searchlight's* then editor Maurice Ludmer, who co-authored the pamphlet with Gerry Gable. (17) The full transcript of this judgment appears as an appendix to this pamphlet. However, in October 1987, *Searchlight's* publisher Gerry Gable gave an interview to the *Jewish Chronicle* in which he claimed credit for solving the arson attacks, and of bringing the killers of a yeshiva student to justice. (18) This was pure invention, and the *Jewish Chronicle* had only to look into its own archive to refute Gable's lies.

What actually happened was that in November 1964, four months before the first of the arson attacks, (19) 15 year old trainee rabbi Wolf (or Wolfe) Katz was killed in an *accidental* fire at the Mesifta Talmudical College in Cazenove Road, Stamford Hill. Another youth, Judah Gottesman, (20) was seriously injured when he jumped from an upstairs window. (21) All Gable did was, quite cynically, tack on the tragic death of Wolf Katz to his non-existent successful investigation. (22) To this day Gable and his gang continue to exploit the suffering of the Jewish people - real and imagined - to further their agenda of lies and hatred. Which makes them every bit as despicable if not more so than the anti-Semites and racists they claim to be combatting.

Page ix reports that this book provides "clear information on the historical roots of racism and hatred". In reality, it provides disinformation and Marxist rhetoric.

"Throughout this text the word 'black' has been used as the descriptive term for all those oppressed on racial grounds and is thus a political description rather than an ethnic one."

The truth is much more complex than that of course, throughout history many white people have been "oppressed" on racial as well as on religious grounds, and all races have done more than their fair share of oppressing. The reason, the only reason, the white race has "oppressed" more than others is because the white race has been the dominant race throughout history.

Page xi, under a heading *What is Searchlight?* the reader is told that "Searchlight's aim is to combat racism, neo-nazism, fascism and all forms of prejudice." A significant desideratum from this list is Zionism, an ideology that has rightly been compared with Nazism. (23)

Searchlight is "a non-sectarian organisation in political, ethnic and religious terms." This is complete twaddle; from its inception both this hate sheet and the organisation behind it have been largely Jewish controlled. Its alleged non-sectarian approach to politics is graphically refuted by the fact that many so-called "anti-racist" organisations have long since refused to work with it and it has been condemned broadly by the "anti-racist" press. (24)

Still on page xi the reader is told that to this very day "Searchlight's undercover investigators continue to...operate inside neo-nazi organisations bringing out vital information to help safeguard the nazis' potential victims."

This sounds very impressive, doesn't it? Almost as though this gallant band of "anti-fascists" has infiltrated a vast network of underground organisations dedicated to the overthrow of democracy and the restoration of the Third Reich. In reality, most if not all of the organisations Searchlight's "undercover investigators" operate inside are perfectly legal political parties, pressure groups and similar outfits. Even those that do break the law do so primarily by the publication of literature which varies from the totally innocuous to the highly inflammatory. Most of the more inflammatory literature that circulates in and around Britain's far right is produced anonymously, and the greater part of it goes straight from the letter box to the wastepaper basket.

However, a word or two should be said about Searchlight's valiant undercover operatives. Over the past twenty and more years there have been three such individuals who have been accredited "moles": Richard David (Dave) Roberts; Ray Hill; and Tim Hepple. The mischief making of these three men has been documented thoroughly elsewhere but we will review all of their cases briefly. (25)

Dave Roberts, who died in 1982 at the young age of 32, was a dedicated communist who, together with *Searchlight's* then controller Maurice Ludmer, concocted a neo-Nazi fantasy about an organisation called Column 88. Although Column 88 did exist and was claimed at the time to be a terrorist organisation, the most shocking allegation ever substantiated against it was that some of its members had celebrated Hitler's birthday. Roberts though found himself in somewhat deeper water.

He joined the National Front in Birmingham under the name Ralph Marshall, but when he was unable to find evidence of criminal activity (or to incite it) in the ranks of the Front, he teamed up with a couple of neo-Nazi fantasists named King and McLaren. In its March 25, 1976 issue, the *Birmingham Post* reported that David John King alias John Joseph

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

Carlyle, and John McLaren, were gaoled, and Roberts received a suspended sentence, for conspiracy to assault and beat the staff of the *Bombay* restaurant, Essex Street, Birmingham. A strange pastime indeed for an "anti-fascist".

Ray Hill was Searchlight's prize catch; a member of the neo-Nazi British movement, after emigrating to South Africa at New Year 1970, (while on bail for an anti-Semitic assault), Hill became involved in far right politics in that country. He claims now to have had a change of heart after seeing an Indian family evicted from their home in a whites only area where they had been living "illegally". (26) In reality, Hill approached two powerful Jews in South Africa and offered to work for "the other side". When he returned to Britain (with the South African police in hot pursuit) (27) he became involved in far right politics here again, and among other things incited the more gullible members of the far right to engage in terrorist activity. After he "came out" he published an error-prone, lie-ridden book in collaboration with journalist, Oxford graduate and Searchlight "asset" Andrew Bell.

Searchlight's third accredited mole, the mentally unbalanced Tim Hepple, not only joined the British National Party but incited anarchist groups to commit violent acts against "Nazis". He also admitted in his own handwriting to stealing money from BNP funds while working at the Party's Welling Bookshop. Another fine example of an "anti-fascist".

Still on page xi, Searchlight refers to Simon Wiesenthal as "the greatest of the post-war nazi-hunters" who is a great fan of "the best English language publication of its kind anywhere in the world." This is not much of a recommendation coming from him. This is neither the time nor the place to critique the activities of Simon Wiesenthal; it will suffice to say that in recent years both Revisionists and non-Revisionists have cast a skeptical eye over the activities of this hate-filled old man, and the portrait they have painted between them is anything but hagiographic. For example, in its February 10, 1996 article, a report on a German TV documentary dismissed Wiesenthal as a "tragic bungler". (28) In a 1995 article in the *Journal of Historical Review*, Revisionist Historian Mark Weber totally deconstructs the Wiesenthal myth. (29)

Still on page xi we meet the proud boast that "Searchlight has co-produced several investigative television documentaries on neo-nazism and has conducted research in the making of dozens of others."

This is undoubtedly true, which may explain why so many people including academics and the authorities have such a poor understanding of the true nature of fascism and the true causes of racial unrest. One of these documentaries was *The Other Face Of Terror*, which was screened by Channel 4 TV in the UK on March 29, 1984, and was networked to several or many other European countries; its research was credited to Searchlight. (30) The current writer has published an in-depth critique of this piece of lie-ridden trash, (which will not be discussed here). (31)

We will though mention another documentary: *Maggie's Militant Tendency*. This was a BBC *Panorama* programme that was screened on January 30, 1984. It professed to expose the Nazi and far right "links" (32) of Conservative Party "extremists". The research for this programme was based largely if not entirely on material which originated with Searchlight, in particular with the *Young Conservative Report*. The current writer has obtained a copy of this report from a serving MP; (33) it is a hodge podge of smears with guilt by association, lies, half-truths and innuendo. (34)

The *Panorama* documentary led to the BBC 'paying out some half a million pounds in libel damages and costs. The April 1984 issue of *Searchlight* ran a story on pages 10-11 called '*Maggie's Militant Tendency*' - *this one will run and run*. The sneering tone of this article was totally unwarranted though, because by the time it was published, the programme had already been exposed in a two page feature in one of the upmarket tabloids. (35) In this excellent and incisive report, the distortions were laid bare one by one. To take just one example, Conservative MP Gerald Howarth was smeared as an "extremist" on the basis of his mother's membership of the National Front. Mrs Howarth was said to have been a member from 1967 to 1970. In reality she had been a member for a few months in 1967, and at that time the NF was a perfectly respectable political party; it was the hate campaign of the organised "anti-fascist" movement and Organised Jewry which drove all the decent people out of the Front. (36)

Returning to *When Hate Comes To Town*, on page xii, the reader is informed that "In 1990 Searchlight provided special investigators for the European Parliament's Commission of Inquiry into Racism and Xenophobia". Again, this is true. Unfortunately. The same page boasts of providing schools with "educational" programmes, working with the media, and "*Helping the police*". Searchlight is said to regularly receive requests from serving police officers.

It is certainly true that these arch-liars and schemers have done their best to insinuate their way into schools and thereby to inject their poison into the minds of the young. The current writer could give several examples of the extent to which the Searchlight octopus has spread its tentacles into the media, but one will suffice. At 7.30pm on March 16, 1995, BBC Radio Four broadcast the sixth programme in its *Soundtrack* series, this edition dealt with the work of Searchlight from a sympathetic viewpoint. On this programme Gable himself claimed that after the then recent Dublin soccer riot, no less than 118 calls had been logged to the Searchlight office; he said he had received over thirty at home and about a dozen the previous night. Unfortunately, this claim is almost certainly true.

It may also be true that Searchlight regularly receives requests from serving police officers, although the police have ignored all of Searchlight's and Gable's claims about terrorism, because senior police officers, those in charge of operations, know full well who Searchlight's controllers are, and what they are. (37)

*Searchlight* magazine is said to contain "authoritative news and features each month [and] is full of reliable information that cannot be found elsewhere". Somebody once said that self-praise is no praise at all. By now the reader will have an idea about just how reliable most of this "information" is, and we are not past the introduction yet.

On page xiii, the reader is told that Searchlight influences government policy. It is difficult to assess the validity of this statement; as a single entity, Searchlight has probably had no influence on government policy at all, but as part of the wider "anti-fascist" movement and an established meddler in race relations, its contribution has probably had some effect.

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

"[I]ts experts are the best informed people in Britain on current nazi and fascist activity". Again, more self-praise. On the same page it is claimed that Searchlight was one of only two "anti-racist" organisations to give evidence to the Home Affairs Committee in 1993-4. Which proves only that they can't even count up to three. Three such organisations actually gave "evidence" to the Home Affairs Committee: the Searchlight Organisation, the Anti-Racist Alliance and the Board of Deputies of British Jews. The latter is renowned for its meddling in race relations and has been at least partly responsible for the drafting of Britain's Draconian "race relations" laws, so its members might well be chuffed to find that the Board is not considered an "anti-racist" organisation.

On the same page the reader is told that Nazis have developed "extensive international links". They also use the Internet. If you have a penfriend in France, a relative in Australia and subscribe directly to an American magazine, you too have international links. So what? As to Nazis using the Internet, who doesn't? One can find all human life on the Internet, there are numerous fora for political debate in which "Nazis" can join, and, like Jewish, "anti-fascist", homosexual, Conservative, Libertarian, Green, and a plethora of other groups, they have their own newsgroups and use E-mail. So what?

Page 1.1-1 begins the section on "*Race*" and *racism*... where the reader is told that "An often quoted definition is that racism is prejudice plus power".

The original definition of *racism* is a belief that racial differences are innate (or largely innate), (38) which is surely anything but controversial. We are all of us partly the result of our genes, partly the result of our environment, and partly the result of luck, be it good or bad. The main *controversy* over race is the very real disparities in intelligence quotients found between various groups. There is no doubt whatsoever that these disparities exist, and this is undoubtedly a legitimate area of academic debate as to why they exist, and so on. It is certainly true that the deceitful and the bigoted can exploit such things as racial differences in intelligence, and other differences, but the position of the Searchlight Educational Trust is that - or would appear to be that - race does not exist. This is the standard Marxist position, and is precisely what one should expect from the committed Marxists who control the Searchlight Organisation.

Page 1.1-2: "Since the 16th century, black people have been ruthlessly exploited and their countries plundered of resources to increase the wealth of the predominantly white European countries and the United States."

This is more Marxist rhetoric: the demonising of Imperialism. The reality though is that wherever they went, the wicked Imperialists did far more good than harm. There are exceptions, in particular the original inhabitants of Tasmania were wiped out by the whites, (39) although it is debatable if one can legitimately refer to these whites as Imperialists. The Red Man suffered under the White Man too, but even allowing for slavery, Africans have benefited enormously from contact with the White Man, (40) and plenty of non-white peoples have perpetrated as well as suffered genocides. (41) Likewise, throughout history, tyranny has flourished under many régimes regardless of race. Of course, none of this is any sort of excuse for racial bigotry, but in the words of Revel, one should always prefer discrimination without murder to murder without discrimination. (42)

Page 1.1-3: reports that Enoch Powell helped to propagate the "myth" of a black crime wave, labelling mugging "a black crime". Unfortunately, the black crime wave is not a myth any more than any other crime wave. Blacks, especially young black men, do indeed have higher - in places far higher - crime rates than whites or other ethnic groups. There are many factors in this, and it should not be controversial or taboo to claim that biology is one of them. In the United States, one of the factors in the black crime wave is drug abuse. There are far more black users of such drugs as crack, and many or most of the domestic dealers in such drugs are black. The solution to this particular black crime wave would be to legalise all drugs, but the hysteria generated over drugs by the media and powerful vested interests is every bit as irrational as the hysteria generated over *racism* by the likes of Searchlight, so for the next decade at the very least, the possibility of legalising even soft drugs remains a non-starter.

Page 1.1-4: more nonsense about *racism* and racial discrimination in sundry places including housing: "Black people are four times as likely to be homeless as white people." This discrimination extends to London.

And on page 1.1-5: "A Social Trends survey revealed that blacks are eight times more likely to be in jail and face almost double the chance of being the victims of violent crime than whites."

Even if these figures are correct, and I do not for one moment concede that they are, there are likewise many factors involved in homelessness and crime rates besides the often mythical disease of *racism*. Although I have seen no statistics I would say from personal observation alone that the claim that blacks (and presumably other non-whites) are more prone to homelessness than whites in London is simply not true. The most alarming increase in hard core homelessness in London has been amongst the young. Even young boys and girls of fifteen and sixteen can now be found literally dossing on the streets of London, and the overwhelming majority of them are white.

Homelessness amongst Asians is probably lower than amongst whites because whereas whites and blacks (ie Negroes) in the West have become atomised (with the rise of the nuclear family) Asians have maintained strong, traditional, extended families. Arranged marriages are not still not uncommon in many Asian families.

As to the claim that "blacks are eight times more likely to be in jail and face almost double the chance of being the victims of violent crime than whites", what is this supposed to prove? In English prisons, males outnumber females by twenty-eight to one. (43) Is this *prima facie* evidence that men are an *oppressed minority*?

Page 1.1-6: "Britain has had racist immigration laws since 1962".

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

What is this supposed to mean? All immigration laws can be said to be *racist* in some sense because they discriminate against foreigners. So what? Is Britain or any country to allow any number of immigrants to enter just because it may be construed as *racist* to maintain a quota system, or not to admit any immigrants at all?

Next we are told that "Asylum seekers fleeing persecution in their own countries are treated as criminal upon arrival in Britain."

If you are as old as the current writer, dear reader, you will recall that we didn't used to have asylum seekers. First we had immigrants, then we had ethnic minorities, now we have asylum seekers. The fact that some or many people choose to seek asylum in Britain means, by definition, that some countries are far less tolerant than us British. It is also a well-documented fact that the vast majority of these so-called asylum seekers are not bona fide refugees fleeing persecution but economic migrants. And some of them should either most definitely be booted out or should not be admitted in the first place. Two cases spring immediately to mind.

A Channel 4 *Dispatches* programme screened May 3, 1995 reported on three Bangladeshis living in Britain who had come here as immigrants, apparently bona fide, and who were suspected, on apparently good evidence, of committing war crimes in Bangladesh in the 1970s. These included the outright murders of civilians rounded up from their homes, butchered, and buried in mass graves.

Another *asylum seeker* is the celebrated Saudi "dissident" Dr Mohammed al-Masari (or al-Massari). John Major (then Prime Minister) ordered his deportation as an undesirable and unwanted trouble maker, an obviously *racist* position. Masari took his case to an immigration appeals tribunal and the government was asked to "reconsider"; he was permitted to stay. Shortly afterwards he was reported not only to be publishing polemics against the Saudi régime but Nazi-style death threats against Israel and its supporters worldwide! (44) This was too much even for the *Jewish Chronicle*, and columnist Chaim Bermant (now deceased) voiced the opinion that Britain had accepted one "asylum seeker" too many. (45)

Page 1.1-7 contains more nonsense about "racial incidents". The police are said to have recorded 9,762 "racial incidents" between April 1993 and April 1994, 5,480 of them in the Greater London area. No information is given as to the basis on which such statistics are compiled. It may be that a lot of these incidents were trivial, duplicated, or were not really racial in any meaningful sense. For example, *Searchlight* magazine itself reported the case of a Mr Mohammad Hassan who was hospitalised after being battered about the head with a brick. One of his attackers was said to be of "mixed race", the other, black. (46)

The 1994 Home Affairs Select Committee is said to have estimated that there were in fact some 130,000 such "crimes" including 52,800 threats, 26,000 acts of vandalism and 32,250 assaults. "These figures exclude the vast majority of cases of low-level harassment."

This is all very horrific, or sounds horrific, but such figures have obviously been manipulated. For serious crimes - in particular murder - the figures adduced by the police and the Central Statistical Office are extremely reliable. Undoubtedly some murders do go undetected, but if in a particular year, fifty or a hundred murders are recorded in a given area, one can be certain that this figure is reasonably accurate. Certainly it is not grossly misleading.

The figure of 130,000 racially motivated *crimes* is certainly misleading. Let us take the aforementioned case of the unfortunate Mr Hassan. As stated, one of his attackers was of "mixed race", the other was black. (It is unusual for *Searchlight* or for any left wing publication to mention such "racial" attacks when the perpetrators are not white). On what evidence is it claimed that this - and other attacks - are racially motivated? We are not told if Mr Hassan was robbed, as he may have been. Or if this was a random attack on a victim who happened to be in the wrong place at the wrong time. The fact is that we live in a society where violence is the norm; we read it in the press and see it on the TV everyday.

As to the 130,000, this "estimate", and that is what it is, includes 52,800 threats, 26,000 acts of vandalism and 32,250 assaults. Again, this all sounds very terrible, but an assault can be simply a shove. Most people wouldn't call the police if they were shoved by somebody in the street. As to the estimate of 52,800 threats, the fact is that people threaten other people everyday. Threats are very seldom made in good spirits; people who make them make use inflammatory language, and if the person they are abusing is of a different race, the opposite sex, or is different in some other way from them, they may append an insulting remark for the sake of it. To take a purely hypothetical example: if a man who is the worse for drink abuses another man over his position in a queue, say, and the victim of his abuse is black, wears glasses and is chronically overweight, while the abuser is white, does not wear glasses and is not fat, is it really racial abuse if the latter refers to the former as a "fat, four-eyed black \*\*\*\*\*" ?

On page 1.2-4, *When Hate Comes To Town* reports the alleged racially motivated murder of Gurdip Singh Chaggar in June 1976 and mentions too the 1981 Deptford fire in which thirteen young people died, all of them black. At the time, the Deptford tragedy became a *cause célèbre*, but in spite of attempts by "anti-racists" to exploit it for political purposes, there was never any meaningful evidence that the fire was started by anyone with a racial motive, or by any outsider.

As to the other *murder* mentioned here, along with the tragic accidental death of Wolf Katz (see page 3) nothing better illustrates the cynical way these damned liars and hatemongers exploit human suffering than the slaying of Gurdip Chaggar.

On May 2, 1977, seventeen year old Jody Hall and eighteen year old Robert Hackman pleaded guilty to the manslaughter of the Asian youth; they had previously pleaded not guilty to murder. Chaggar was killed in a street fight in West London between two gangs of youths. From the newspaper reports these gangs appear to have been exclusively

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

white and exclusively Asian, but such racial division does not necessarily amount to *racism*. In certain American cities which are notorious for gangs and gang fighting, gangs are often all-black, all-Hispanic or even all-female. (Black on black gang violence appears to be the most common). Hell's Angels are almost always exclusively white, although there have been black Hell's Angels here and there. Again, this is nothing to do with *racism*, rather this is simply the way society works.

As it happens, the judge, Mr Justice Lawson, who sentenced Hall and Hackman to four years imprisonment each for manslaughter at the Old Bailey was quoted thus: "If you were older you would each go to prison for a very long time. I am quite satisfied that neither of you were activated by feelings of racial prejudice." Both youths were each also jailed for 6 months for possessing an offensive weapon and making an affray. (47)

The following week, three other participants were convicted of affray. One, an Asian, got six months and a further two years to run concurrently for "an unrelated robbery offence" [my quotes]. Two more, an 18 year old (apparently) white youth and a 21 year old (apparently) Asian, were cleared. (48)

Returning again to *When Hate Comes To Town*, the reader is told also that "Violent clashes took place between the police and black youths at the Notting Hill carnival" and that "It was widely believed at the time that a racist had deliberately started the [Deptford] fire." On the next page, the reader is told that the police response to the fire was inadequate. Again, there was no meaningful evidence, or rather no evidence at all, that this fire was started by anyone with a racial motive; no one was ever charged with arson and although there may have been an element of recklessness in the fire, it was never proved satisfactorily even that it was arson.

With regard to the supposedly inadequate police response to the fire, again this is nonsense. It is certainly true that "It was widely believed at the time that a racist had deliberately started the fire." But as all the victims were black, this was hardly an unusual speculation. Exactly what happened on that fateful night in 1981 when thirteen young blacks lost their lives has never been satisfactorily explained, and neither time nor space permit of a detailed assesment of it here, but a couple of salient points are worth noting.

In June 1983, it was revealed that Commander Graham Stockwell, who was then head of the Metropolitan Police Fraud Squad, was to receive "substantial" damages relating to an article published in the *New Statesman*. One of the false allegations made against this senior (and apparently exemplary) officer was that he had been responsible for "the harassment and threatening of young witnesses by officers under his command" during the Deptford fire investigation. (49) Which, if nothing else, proves that it is far easier to spread scurrilous stories than to substantiate them.

Even more interestingly, later that same year it was revealed that the police had sent a new report on the fire to the Director of Public Prosecutions. According to the *Times*, although "Black pressure groups have consistently maintained that the blaze was caused by a firebomb thrown by a white racist, and that the police have been engaged in a cover-up", a black man then in the United States, was said to have been "almost certainly" responsible, and several people were said not to have told the truth about the fire. Charges were said to have been expected, (50) although none followed. Police officers everywhere are often guilty of many misdemeanours, including insensitivity, but there has never been any meaningful evidence that the British police treat serious offences (in particular suspected mass murder) with anything but total commitment. (51)

Interestingly, in their misnamed study *racism: the destruction of civil and political liberties*, authors Conor Foley and Sharron Nelles hint that the Deptford fire might have been racially motivated. I say interestingly because the Searchlight gang obviously had some input into this piece of Marxist sophistry. (52) And as this short piece of misnamed subversive trash was published in 1993, the authors can have no excuse whatsoever for such mischief-making.

Finally in this connection, proof positive - as if any were needed - that whatever their many faults, the British police do take the murders (or suspected murders) of blacks as seriously as they do the murders of whites, can be gleaned even from left wing sources. In 1993, the "liberal" barrister Michael Mansfield QC published *Presumed Guilty*, a book which while making some serious, indeed damning, criticisms of the entire British legal system, vindicates the police totally of the charge of "racial apathy". The book centres on a murder investigation and trial in which the accused, an Asian, had allegedly stabbed to death a black man in a situation probably not too different from that which brought about the death of Girdup Chaggar. As well as demonstrating clearly that *racism* is far from an exclusively white on non-white affair, it is clear that in this case the supposedly so *racist* police went to considerable lengths to convict a man for the murder of a black man who was, to put it mildly, anything but a model citizen. (53)

Returning to *When Hate Comes To Town's* claim that "Violent clashes took place between the police and black youths at the Notting Hill carnival", so what? The Carnival is a traditional Caribbean event which draws hundreds of thousands of people over the two day Bank Holiday. Unfortunately, while the overwhelming majority of people who attend the Carnival - and similar events - do so to enjoy themselves, any event of that size will attract opportunist thieves. Much of the crime at the Notting Hill Carnival in recent years, including the mugging, has been organised. (54) And, as might be expected, the gangs have been predominantly or exclusively black. When one adds to that the usual troublemakers - eg people who can't hold their liquor - it is hardly surprising that there have been clashes between the police and the criminal element.

What is not mentioned in this publication though, perhaps curiously, is the alleged plot to bomb the Carnival which was splashed over the front page of the *Daily Mirror* in July, 1981. (55) This story also appeared on the front cover of the August 1981 issue of *Searchlight*. The current writer has published an extensive analysis of the different versions of the

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

mythical Notting Hill Carnival bomb plot, and the interested reader is referred to his unauthorised biography of Ray Hill. (56) Suffice it to say that the police gave this plot precisely the credence it deserved: none.

Returning to *When Hate Comes To Town*, on page 1.2-7 it is reported that in November 1994, a 60 year old Asian shopkeeper named Mohan Singh Kullah in Neath, South Wales, was beaten unconscious with a brick and died from his injuries.

This is true, but although race was undoubtedly a factor in this callous and totally unprovoked killing, it wasn't the only one. Five men eventually stood trial as a result of this incident: one was convicted of murder and gaoled for life; a second was convicted of manslaughter and gaoled for eight years; a third was convicted of violent disorder and gaoled for three and a half years; two others were acquitted. The first man, Grant Watkins, was said to have drunk fifteen pints of lager and several shorts before the attack! (57) It is arguable that this - and many murders - would not take place but for alcohol abuse, which causes far more distress to people of all races than does the mythical disease of *racism*.

Page 1.3-1 begins the section called *Antisemitism through the ages*. Here the reader is told that in the Middle Ages, "Excluded from many professions, some Jews were forced to resort to money lending in order to survive". One might ask therefore why in the late Twentieth Century, young unemployed blacks in Brixton and elsewhere do not resort to money lending "in order to survive". The truth is that throughout history Jews have existed as a privileged minority as much as a persecuted one, as the more honest Jewish academics now freely admit.

For example, in his excellent study of Jews and the state, American-Jewish scholar Benjamin Ginsberg informs us that during the 11th to 15th Centuries, Jews were so prominent in the economies of the Spanish kingdoms that their tax payments were major factors in royal treasuries, sometimes accounting for half of all royal revenues. (58) An earlier source informs the reader that Jews held similar positions of privilege and power in Britain. One of these was the Mediaeval usurer Aaron of Lincoln, thus: "Aaron the Jew, who held us in his debt, coming to the House of St. Alban in great pride and boasting, with threats kept on boasting that it was he who had made the window for our St. Alban..." (59)

On page 1.3-2, *When Hate Comes To Town* informs us that Germany was reunified in 1870. Actually, the German Empire came into existence on January 18, 1871. (60)

The reader is also informed that the notorious *Protocols Of Zion* was first circulated in 1905. Dear oh dear, can't they get anything right? A version of the *Protocols* actually appeared in the anti-Semitic newspaper *Znamya*, (61) (the *Banner*) between August 26 and September 7, 1903. (62) The Nilus version first appeared as an appendix to the 1905 edition of the author's book *The Great in the Small. Antichrist considered as an imminent political possibility*. This had first been published without the *Protocols*. (63)

On page 1.3-3, under a sub-heading about anti-Zionism, it is claimed that "Jews are sometimes held collectively responsible for the plight of the Palestinians". This is true, but the likes of the Searchlight Organisation's controllers are forever holding white society responsible for something called *racism*; the big difference is that people who endorse the latter view are unlikely to be censured (except by *racists* and other unmentionables).

Curiously, or maybe not so curiously, this study doesn't mention how the State of Israel was created, but any objective discussion of this could only be deemed anti-Semitic. On page 1.3-5 it is insinuated that Tony Marlow MP is an anti-Semite on account of his honest claim that "the most sophisticated and heavily orchestrated lobby of the postwar world" had engineered the passage of the *War Crimes Bill*. Heavily orchestrated yes, but at times Organised Jewry are anything but sophisticated. There is nothing sophisticated about smearing people as anti-Semitic with gay abandon.

Section 1.4 is called *Falsifying history - the denial of the Holocaust*. This section is headed with a quote from *Redwatch*, supposedly the bulletin of Combat 18, which was distributed in 1993. "...Our view on the Holocaust is if it didn't happen it should have; if it did happen it's a pity they didn't kill them all. The subhuman Jew must die if our race is to survive..."

Obviously this sort of highly inflammatory rhetoric is guaranteed to win only the tiniest number of mentally unbalanced converts: social misfits, psychopaths and the like. There is little doubt that the people who control our news and entertainments media relish in portraying all *racists* and "anti-Semites" in this fashion. We will not discuss here the shadowy origins of this mysterious organisation, it will suffice to say that Combat 18 has won few if any friends on the far right, has been the subject of intense criticism from the leadership of the British National Party (64) and has absolutely nothing whatsoever to do with Holocaust Revisionism.

Far more interesting than the headbangers of C18 though, is the Searchlight Educational Trust's own contribution to Holocaust Revisionism, for on the very same page the reader is told that "some historians have mistakenly stated that gas chambers were in operation at Dachau concentration camp..." The reader should contrast this with the following quote from the documents of the International Military Tribunal at Nuremberg:

"As in the case of other camps, local townspeople were brought in to view the dead at Dachau.

This is what the liberators found inside the buildings.

Hanging in orderly rows were the clothes of prisoners who had been suffocated in the lethal gas chamber. They had been persuaded to remove their clothing under the pretext of taking a shower for which towels and soap were provided.

This is the Brausebad - the showerbath.

Inside the showerbath - the gas vents.

On the ceiling - the dummy shower heads.

In the engineers' room - the intake and outlet pipes.

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

Push buttons to control inflow and outtake of gas. A hand-valve to regulate pressure. Cyanide powder was used to generate the lethal smoke.

From the gas chamber, the bodies were removed to the crematory." (65)

In other words, the above passage is pure invention. A full discussion of Holocaust Revisionism lies beyond the scope of the current study, it will though suffice to say that if Revisionists are falsifying the Holocaust, they are far from the only ones. On the very same page the reader is told that "the belief that Jews were turned into soap is now thought to be erroneous." Again, this is true, but on the fiftieth anniversary of the liberation of Auschwitz a report endorsing the human soap nonsense appeared in the London *Times* (and presumably many other quality newspapers throughout the world).

The story, *Death camp's dark secrets still emerging*, on page 13, reported that "Yesterday, Andrzej Paluch, a sociology professor, explained...the fat was made into blocks of soap and sold to Poles in the neighbouring town of Oswiecim." Professor Paluch was quoted thus: "It was known as RJF - Reines Jüdisches Fett - pure Jewish fat". The professor said this was called *ryfka* by the Poles, and apparently the Poles used it because "It was the only soap we could get on our ration cards. There was no choice." (This was said to have been a typical response). (66)

Returning to *When Hate Comes To Town*, on the very same page, a well-known quote by Adolf Hitler is used as evidence of the Nazis' alleged intent to exterminate the Jews:

"If the international Jewish financiers in and outside Europe should succeed in plunging the nations once more into a world war, then the result will not be the bolshevisation of the earth, and thus the victory of Jewry, but the annihilation of the Jewish race in Europe!"

This quote is frequently cited as proof of Hitler's alleged genocidal intent, for example, in his 1992 book *The Nazi Holocaust*, Ronnie S. Landau uses the quote in the same context. What is the truth behind this quote?

In the first instance, Hitler was addressing the Reichstag at the end of January 1939, more than seven months before Britain declared war on Germany. Obviously, Hitler could see a war coming, at that time he was in the process of subjugating large tranches of Europe by mere threats, but although he wanted an even bigger piece of the pie he wanted to avoid a bloodbath, and the very last thing he wanted was war with Britain. (67)

Hitler's threats were directed at "international Jewish financiers" whom he considered to be responsible for causing and profiting from war. (68) He is stating quite clearly - albeit in somewhat bellicose terms - that he does not want war, but if war does come, his enemies will be vanquished, not Germany.

What the authors of *When Hate Comes To Town* have done here, indeed, what all non-Revisionists do, is present the reader with a part quote out of context, because in his Reichstag speech the Führer continued thus:

"For the non-Jewish peoples are no longer without the weapon of propaganda. Both National Socialist Germany and Fascist Italy have the equipment necessary to enlighten the world about the nature of a problem that many nations instinctively recognize, though they may lack a scientific view of it.

For the time being, the Jews may carry on their agitations in certain states under the cover of the press, cinema, radio, theatre, literature, etc., which are in their hands. But if the Jewish nation should once again succeed in goading millions of people from other nations into a totally senseless war, to serve only Jewish interests, the efficacy of the kind of enlightenment that in just a few years utterly defeated the Jews in Germany will become manifest." (69) Enough of Holocaust Revisionism, let us move on. (70)

*When Hate Comes To Town* has two pages devoted to gypsies ...*Europe's most hated minority*? The answer to that question is yes, hatred, or at the very least, intolerance of, gypsies, is widespread throughout Europe, and probably everywhere else. Even blacks hate gypsies. (71) In January 1994, *Searchlight* published a photograph captioned "Gypsy children in clean, pleasant surroundings in their caravan." According to the magazine, this was issued on a postcard by the "Labour Campaign for Travellers' Rights". It was obviously staged; the gypsies' way of life is widely, and correctly, associated with filth, squalor, and every vice from petty theft to incest.

Section 1.6 deals with homosexuals. This section is decidedly pro-queer and reports on page 1.6-1 that Nazi Germany had Draconian laws against homosexuality and that homosexuals were sent to concentration camps. This is true, but it has to be seen in context. To begin with, homosexuality was illegal in Britain until the late 1960s, and, with rare exceptions, this perversion has been taboo worldwide throughout most nations throughout most of history. It was only during the 1970s that the term *gay* really caught on, and although most people nowadays - including the current writer - believe it was right to legalise homosexuality, it is one thing to tolerate perverts practising their vile vices in the privacy of their own homes. (72) it is quite another to elevate it into a virtue.

Interestingly, it wasn't simply ordinary people who regarded homosexuality with such disdain, but those champions of the working class the Communists. The *Encyclopedia Of Homosexuality* informs us that in Weimar Germany, Marxists attacked homosexuality as a "fascist perversion". It may in fact have been this opprobrium for homosexual perversion that led Hitler to purge the homosexual Ernst Röhm in the 1934 "Night of the Long Knives". (73) "As early as the 1920s leaders of Western Communist parties began to float the idea that...homosexual activity...resulted from the *decadence* of capitalism in its death throes. Homosexuality was to disappear in the healthy new society of the future." (74)

In Britain it wasn't very popular with anti-fascists either, hence the anti-Blackshirt chant:

"Hitler and Mosley, what are they for?

Thuggery, buggery, hatred and war!" (75)

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

Even former concentration camp inmates were largely revolted by homosexuality. Dr Elie Cohen, who was interned at Auschwitz, wrote in his pioneering psychological study that "Many German anti-Fascists could not resist a handsome boy, so that homosexuality claimed its sacrifices by degrading life and character". (76)

The Nazis even went so far as to establish brothels in concentration camps for the express purpose of alleviating the problems caused by sex starved men interned for long periods, although only *Aryans* were admitted. (77)

Page 1.6-3 of *When Hate Comes to Town* refers to "the struggle of lesbians and gay men for recognition of parenthood". This, we are told, is one of their basic "human rights".

Most people, including very many non-whites, and all pious Jews, would hasten to disagree. For example, the *Voice*, the black newspaper, published two articles on homosexuality in its June 16, 1987 and January 18, 1988 issues which reported that black churches condemned homosexuality in no uncertain terms; one pastor from Brixton attacked this sordid perversion with the incisive comment that if God had wanted man to have sex with man he would have created Adam and Adam! Homosexuals were also reported to have been beaten up in Brixton. In May 1992, it was reported that the organisers of a Jewish fund-raising walk had banned the Jewish Lesbian and Gay Helpline on the order of the Chief Rabbi, Dr Jonathan Sacks, as he could not be seen as giving credibility or respectability to such a group. (78)

The current writer could write much more about the phony struggle for gay "rights" and the attitude of all decent and half-decent people towards this foulest of perversions, but the point should be taken. (79)

Section 1.7 of *When Hate Comes To Town* is called *Women and Fascism*. At best this can be said to take a non-partisan approach to miscegenation. In 1979, Searchlight published a pamphlet called *Women and the National Front*, which, in a thinly veiled plea for miscegenation, argued that "Racism is the most dangerous and evil of these prejudices and everyone should be educated to realise the outcome of what might seem to many 'natural' racist feelings." (80) In other words, it is evil for white people to want their grandchildren and their great-grandchildren to be white, to the Nth generation. Or even to the next generation. The author of this sick pamphlet doesn't actually refer to the "gas chambers", but the perceptive reader will have no doubt whatsoever that this is at the front of her mind.

On page 1.7-2 the reader is told that "[White w]omen who have relationships with blacks are regarded as traitors." This cuts both ways of course. To take just a couple of examples, one from each side of the Atlantic: a white woman in Marietta, Georgia who was married to a black man was interviewed by a local newspaper on the subject of inter-racial marriage and was surprised that it wasn't local (white) *racists* who inundated her with abusive phone calls but black women who accused her and her kind of "damaging the black family structure, of ignoring the needs of the black child, and of breaking some higher law." (81)

While an article published in the *Sunday Times* in March, 1994 revealed that many successful blacks, especially black women, view so-called celebrity miscegenation with disdain. One black TV presenter said that "Black people in the public eye are expected to set an example"; clearly she felt they weren't. (82)

Now it could be that these blacks, like whites who oppose miscegenation and governmentally forced race-mixing, are ignorant sons and daughters of bitches, or it could be that people of all races resent having social[ist] policies forced on them by hatemongers who seek to destroy their cultural and biological inheritance because the Nazis were unkind to the Jews, (that always seems to be the bottom line, doesn't it? *racism* = gas chambers). And the fact that so many of the people who are promoting these policies are Jewish or of Jewish origin, (like Ruth Levin, the editor of this misnamed Community Handbook), does far more to incite anti-Semitism than all the "Nazis", anti-Semites and nutters in Britain put together.

Page 1.7-2 also refers to the highly inflammatory *White Wolves* document which was distributed in early 1995. When the current writer received a copy of this I assumed it was some sort of black propaganda document, probably put out by one of Searchlight's friends. I have since been informed by someone on the far right that it is probably genuine, but that he wouldn't touch it with a barge pole. (83)

Referring to articles in far right magazines, *When Hate Comes To Town* reports that "The majority of articles on homosexuality link sex between gay men with pornography, child abuse and Aids."

Both heterosexuals and homosexuals use pornography, although queer porn is by definition far more vile than its normal equivalent. It is a myth that child abuse (in the purely physical sense) is attributed entirely or even primarily to homosexuals, if only because relatively few homosexuals marry. (84) However, it is well-documented that the modern organised paedophile movement, in Britain at least, has its roots in the Organised Homosexual Movement.

In the mid 70s an organisation calling itself the Paedophile Information Exchange reared its ugly head and was, for a time, the subject of the usual lurid tabloid headlines. In 1976, the Paedophile Information Exchange made the following admission: "...the organisation was started by male homosexual paedophiles from within the gay movement." It was said to have advertised initially in predominantly male homosexual publications: "our only regular form of advertising is still a mention every two weeks in the guide section of *Gay News*." (85)

In issue 2 of *Gay Times*, published June 22nd, 1978, the paper referred to the *News of the World* as "The Vilest Paper in Britain" for attempting to link PIE with *Gay Times*. An unsigned article on page 3 stated that "Gay Times has grave misgivings about the aims of the Paedophile Information Exchange, and therefore does not support them. But the exchange of information between like minded people, especially when they are otherwise totally rejected by all sections of society, seems to us to be likely to be more beneficial than leaving isolated and necessarily frustrated people to cope on their own."

Exchange of like-minded people indeed. These are the same people who hound *racists* and *fascists* out of their jobs.

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

Section 1.8 of *When Hate Comes To Town* is called *Eugenics, race and IQ*. On the first page of this section the reader is informed that "One logical conclusion of the eugenic philosophy was the death camps." This is complete nonsense, indeed, the Jewish religion endorses eugenics! In July 1993, Lord Jakobowits, the Chief Rabbi Emeritus, made an Halakhic pronouncement on homosexuality. In particular he suggested that genetic engineering might be used to eliminate this poison from society forever. This led to an outburst of hysteria from the Organised Homosexual Movement, a picket by homosexual activists (from the group OutRage) at a London synagogue, and calls for Britain's leading Jewish holy man to be hounded throughout academia and elsewhere. (86) Needless to say, OutRage were in their turn accused of anti-Semitism; it was only when head honcho Peter Tatchell wrote a grovelling letter to the *Jewish Chronicle* that the hysteria abated on both sides. (87) Interestingly though (and appallingly) so-called "progressive Jews" were said to have sided with the Organised Homosexual Movement against the Chief Rabbi Emeritus.

Next in *When Hate Comes To Town* the reader is told that Nazism was not only *racist* and *homophobic* but also "fundamentally sexist". Exactly what this word means has never been properly explained, it is simply another boring left wing epithet. The reality is that the Third Reich placed a high premium on the traditional feminine values, in particular of motherhood (all those lovely white babies). Nazism did not denigrate women as such and there were certainly opportunities for women to rise as far as they were capable. A good example is Leni Riefenstahl, the filmmaker. (88) Indeed, the same claims about *sexism* are often levelled at Islam, because of its (possibly priggish) attitude towards the opposite sex. Yet when one looks behind the veil (no pun intended) one finds here that women are not denied advancement, and indeed there are many prominent women doctors and the like in the Islamic world.

Page 1.8-2 reports that there is "still no direct evidence" for a genetic component in intelligence.

This is a perennial favourite of the Searchlight crew and of the organised left in general. As far as such direct evidence can exist it certainly does, but a discussion of the issues involved would take us too far afield. Page 1.8-3 refers to the then recently published *The Bell Curve*, a book it doesn't like at all. The reader is told that "*The Bell Curve* has been music to the ears of fascist groups such as the British National Party".

This may be so, but the political views of its author, Charles Murray, are anything but fascist. (89)

Section 2.1 of *When Hate Comes To Town* is called *Ideology of fascism*. On page 2.1-2, the book lays the blame for the Bologna station bombing at the feet of the fascist international. This atrocity, "the greatest act of fascist terror since the Second World War", left 85 dead and over 200 injured. What are the facts about the Bologna Railway Station bombing?

The bomb exploded at 10.25am on August 2, 1980; the toll of dead and injured do indeed make this a terrible atrocity. Although the extreme left made considerable political capital out of it at the time - and still do - the mystery of Bologna was never cleared up and the involvement of fascists has never been conclusively proved. Writing in the 1991 book *PUPPETMASTERS*, Philip Willan noted: "More than a decade later very little is known for certain about the bombing. What is clear, however, is that the Italian secret services worked hard to prevent judicial investigators from uncovering the truth." (90)

On July 14, 1988, four right wing extremists were convicted of and jailed for life for this atrocity. However, on July 18, 1990, their convictions were quashed. (91) In the wake of Bologna, a number of Italian far rightists fled to Britain - expecting a state frame-up. An attempt was later made to extradite them but the case was thrown out because there was no evidence that they had committed any act that would have been an offence under English law. (92)

Part 2.2 of *When Hate Comes To Town* is called *Fascism in Britain - a chronology*. This section contains a number of minor errors which we will not condescend to document. We will though refer to two not so minor errors, namely the references to the British Democratic Party and Column 88. It is said of the former that "It collapsed after being exposed on television for its involvement in gun running".

This statement is only partly true. The shortlived BDP was the brainchild of Anthony Reed Herbert, a Leicester man who was, of all things, a solicitor. This political "party" was hardly a fascist concern, and in any case it was based entirely or almost entirely in Leicester and it is doubtful if it would ever have become a force in politics.

The TV *exposé* was a programme called *Guns to the Right, a World in Action* "documentary" which was screened on ITV on July 6, 1981. It was said to have been about "Nazis trading in arms". The August 1981 issue of *Searchlight* magazine commenting on this programme reported that it had included "the introduction of an American undercover agent posing as a would-be purchaser" but that "Despite conclusive evidence being placed in the hands of Special Branch officers both in Scotland Yard and in Leicester...not a single Nazi, trading in arms or acting as part of the set up to protect Nazi killers on the run, has been detained." (93)

An editorial in the November 1981 issue and an open letter to the Chief Constable of Leicestershire Constabulary whined that the police were still not taking *Searchlight* seriously. The reason for this is not far to seek; if the Searchlight team had uncovered any criminal offences by "Nazis" in Leicester it was because it had incited them in the first place.

On page 2.2-2 of *When Hate Comes To Town* the reader is informed that "Column 88, the nazi underground group that existed from around the late 1960s until the end of the 1970s, was a honey trap operation by British intelligence and should not be counted as a genuine far-right or racist group."

This is what they say now, but it is not what they were saying in 1981, for in the same *exposé* of gun running by "Nazis" in Leicester, *Searchlight* reported that "The American [agent provocateur] dealt with several leading Nazis during his negotiations, including Column 88 leader, Lutz Vaughan..." (94)

Nor is it what they were saying in 1991, for in that year, Searchlight head honcho Gerry Gable contributed an error-prone, lie-ridden essay to a book called *Neo-Fascism in Europe*, (95) in which he claimed that Column 88 "has

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

existed in this country since the war years." And that Column 88 is said also to "punish those who break their oaths of allegiance" to the Nazi secret society. (96) Later in the same essay, Major Ian Souter Clarence is referred to as the military commander of Column 88; (97) while earlier, Gable claims that the reason John Tyndall was ousted from the leadership of the National Front is that he had reneged on his oath of allegiance to Column 88, into which he had been inducted at the tender age of nineteen. (98)

The prosaic truth about Column 88 is that it was largely a creation of Searchlight, (99) but even if it were not, the Searchlight team cannot have it both ways: either it was a genuine Nazi organisation or it was not. If the latter, then everything Searchlight wrote about it from 1975 to 1991 is worthless. Everything. (100)

Section 2.3 of *When Hate Comes To Town* is called *Fascism today - groups in Britain*, and on the first page it is reported that the British National Party has 600 members, one of whom, John Peacock, "was exposed in a *World In Action* television investigation into nazi gun-runners in 1981".

In reality, the BNP has probably quite a few more than six hundred members, and even more supporters, although the actual number remains confidential as do the identities of many or most of its members, for obvious reasons. The reference to John Peacock in the aforementioned Leicester gun running "plot" refers to the nonsense we have already discussed.

On page 2.3-3 it is reported that Nick Griffin is a prospective future leader of the BNP and may even be preparing to overthrow John Tyndall in some sort of bizarre coup. This nonsense has also appeared in *Searchlight* magazine; the January 1996 issue for example. This claim is pure lies and pure hate. The current writer is in regular contact with Griffin, who lives in the wilds of Wales; he owns a small-holding and is raising a large family. He has a working wife and many commitments. His chief political commitment is his development of political theory; he is also editor of the *Rune*, a quasi-autonomous BNP magazine produced by, or largely by, the Party's Croydon branch. In recent times Griffin has been given quite a bit of space in *Spearhead*, something which would be inconceivable if he were thought to be mounting any sort of palace coup. (101)

Page 2.3-5 refers to Dr William Pearce in one paragraph, but in the next gets his name right: it is Dr William Pierce.

Page 2.3-9 contains innuendo against Roberto Fiore. It is said on this page that 86 people died in the Bologna Station bombing of 1981! Dear oh dear, again, this outrage killed 85 people and was perpetrated on August 2, 1980. (102)

"The exiled Italians were not wanted for the actual bombing but for their role in acting as messengers between a section of the Italian Secret Service, which was involved in the bombing, and fascist terrorists." Fiore and Massimo Morsello were said to have helped MI6 in the Middle East and that is why they were allowed to stay in Britain. This is more nonsense; as stated above, the alleged terrorists were not extradited because there was no case against them in English law.

On page 2.3-10, the Searchlight team reveal the quality of their inside information on the British far right: Kevin Watmough and Gordon Jackson are said to be two prominent members of the British Nationalist and Socialist Movement. According to my information, which comes from a far more reliable source, at the time of publication of *When Hate Comes To Town*, Kevin Watmough had not been a member of the British Movement for over a year, and Gordon Jackson was dead! (103)

On page 2.3-11, Keith Thompson is said to have been exposed as a Searchlight informer in the early 1990s. This is more lies and nonsense. The story behind this is as follows: in 1991, Searchlight head honcho Gerry Gable and his goons (from Red Action) (104) found themselves charged with causing an affray in Kensington Library after they conned/forced their way into a private meeting. Gable was to stand trial with Gary O'Shea, a psychopathic Red Action thug, but due to the incompetence of the prosecution the trial collapsed.

In the July 1991 issue of *Searchlight* it was claimed that Keith Thompson had been involved in some sort of porn racket and assorted filth. While of the Kensington Library incident, the magazine claimed that: "the 20-odd nazis who got themselves damaged only did so because they entered the building armed and fighting." This is complete nonsense because these so-called Nazis had been going to a private meeting, and would hardly have gone to one of their own meetings "armed and fighting".

In the September 1992 issue the claim was made that Thompson had sold information to *Searchlight* on two occasions. The only evidence for this is the uncorroborated word of Gerry Gable, a notorious, much practised and many times proven liar; it is not stated what sort of information Thompson could possibly have had that was worth selling. In fact, Thompson is a long standing member of the League of St. George, which is merely a private club, in spite of all the nonsense spewed out by Gable and his fellow hatemongers over the years about it being some sort of Nazi terrorist front. It is possible that Gable made this allegation against Thompson not simply to attempt to discredit him in the eyes of his colleagues but to use this as a plausible screen for some of the documents he has received from various sources over the years.

The current writer has seen documentation which proves, or at the very least indicates strongly, that the Searchlight Organisation and its cohorts use illegal methods to gather information on the mythical international Nazi conspiracy. Indeed, one such document is in the public domain. In his pamphlet *A Lie Too Far*, Larry O'Hara reproduces a letter in which Searchlight "mole" Tim Hepple admitted in his own handwriting to stealing British National funds while working at the Party's HQ. (105)

On page 2.3-13 of *When Hate Comes To Town* under a sub-heading *Fringe Groups*, the Church of the Creator is said to be "a pagan church, which believes in the Norse gods of the Vikings and denounces both Christians and Jews". In fact,

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

the Church of the Creator is not only a violently anti-Semitic organisation but one that has been consistently denounced by none other than Harold A. Covington, one of America's leading National Socialists! The group was run by its founder, Ben Klassen, who committed suicide in 1993.

According to Covington, Klassen was of Jewish origin. (106) In an E-Mail message to the current writer (September 20, 1996), Covington informed him that "Klassen Kroaked August 7th, 1993". Covington was also good enough to send me a copy of a letter dated December 7th 1991 written by a youth named Chip Meyers which reveals that while a member of the Church of the Creator, from March 1987 until August 1988, Meyers had sexual relations with Klassen. Klassen appears to have had a penchant for good-looking *Aryan* boys as did Harry Bidney, (one of Gable's heroes). (107)

Such organisations as the Church of the Creator appeal to only a tiny minority of hardened race-haters and by their very nature can make no progress, political or otherwise. The Church of the Creator published a repulsive newspaper called *Racial Loyalty*. (108)

On page 2.4-3, *When Hate Comes To Town* refers to the Revolutionary Conservative Caucus as "avowedly racist, sexist and homophobic" but neglects to mention that this "organisation" consists of two men and a dog, ie Stuart Millson and Jonathan Bowden.

Section 2.5 is called *Infiltration and influence*. On pages 2.5-1 and 2.5-2 it is reported that Michael Newlands is editor of *British Nationalist*. The gent's name is actually Michael Newland. According to the March 1996 issue of *Searchlight*, "Newland was not the editor of *British Nationalist*". (109) The Searchlight team should at least try to be consistent.

On page 2.5-3 the reader is advised "If you suspect an organisation of being infiltrated or run by fascists, you should do the following..." Number one on the list is to check with Searchlight! As in the past *Searchlight* has smeared such groups as the Monday Club and the Young Conservatives as Nazis, fascists, anti-Semites and fellow travellers the desirability of such a course of action remains to be seen.

*If you suspect that a fascist is employed in a sensitive job, contact Searchlight directly, who will be able to provide assistance.* [And we will hound the person concerned out of his or her post].

Section 3.1 is called *Responses to Racism and Fascism* and begins with *historical examples of Defending communities*. Two particularly unfortunate examples of such "defence" are given here: the Battle of Cable Street and the activities of the 43 Group.

According to our intrepid anti-fascists, "The battle of Cable Street is probably the most famous example of active community resistance to fascism in Britain." This is indeed the way this incident is remembered, but what actually happened - as is made clear here - is that the Communist Party and their fellow travellers organised a massive resistance which led to the breakdown of law and order and eighty-three arrests.

In May 1992, a group of thugs known as Anti-Fascist Action were given space on Channel 4's *Open Space* programme to air their views. In a no holds barred documentary called *Fighting Talk*, made under their own direction, they told the people exactly what they thought fascists are about, exactly what they are about, and exactly what they do, and will do, in order to save British democracy from the fascist hordes.

The documentary showed original footage of the Battle of Cable Street, and featured two people who were actually there. They pointed out, correctly, that they had never seen a fascist all day and that all the fighting was against the police. As indeed it was.

An article in the April/May 1991 issue of *Comrade* (110) quoted contemporary Special Branch reports which demonstrated that the "anti-fascist" uprising against Mosley was staged by the organised communist movement and its Labour allies.

One of the Cable Street witnesses in the AFA documentary pointed out that not only had the "anti-fascists" fought the police, but that they had beaten them. When the forces of law and order surrender, this is not anti-fascism but anarchy. It might be added that Mosley may have been misguided, but one thing he always was, was law-abiding. He obeyed police orders and abandoned the march.

Disgraceful as it was, the Battle of Cable Street was a one-off; the 43 Group, which is featured on the next page, was a group of thugs who went about their business for four years. In 1992, a former member named Morris Beckman published a grotesquely inaccurate - if extraordinarily candid - book about the exploits of the 43 Group in which he portrayed them as heroes who ultimately destroyed the post-war fascist movement. (111) The truth is very different; in the first place the appeal of fascism after the bloodiest war in history was never that great, certainly not in Britain. In the second place, the 43 Group were not heroes but scum. In this connection, one can do no better than quote from the letters page of the *Jewish Chronicle*, in particular, from two letters by Jews who combatted fascism by legal and decent means. Both appeared in the *Jewish Chronicle* under the heading *Violence in battle against Fascists*, on April 12, 1996.

Writing from Melbourne, Australia, Ephraim Briskman reported that at outdoor meetings during the 30s, "Trained Jewish speakers were able effectively to demolish the Fascist 'case' and to deal with anti-Jewish questions. Frequently, they were assaulted, and at times they required police protection. But they never gave up...After the war...the Association of Jewish Ex-Servicemen and Women...masterminded a similar campaign." He went on to say that this was very effective and that the 43 Group should have adopted a similar policy. "In our violent world, Jews may sometimes be compelled to use violence in self-defence...But so long as we live in a democratic society, the use of violence can only be counter-productive."

In a similar vein, Henry Morris of the Association of Jewish Ex-Servicemen wrote that his was "a responsible organisation, constrained to act within the law..." and of the 43 Group he said: "They are entitled to celebrate the 50th

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

anniversary of their brief spell of self-gratification, earned while indulging in punch-up politics with Oswald Mosley's Fascists. History will determine whether they achieved anything lasting and really worthwhile." Clearly he thought not (See also note 13 of the current work).

Another group of "anti-fascists" deserves mention here, but has not, for some reason, found its way into the Searchlight "community handbook". This is the 62 Group. The reader is referred to page 2 of the current work, and also to the publications listed therein.

Page 3.1-4 has a photograph captioned "Memorial march after the brutal murder of Rohit Duggal by racist thugs". There is both a lie and an irony in this photograph. The lie is that tragic murder of sixteen year old Rohit Duggal in July 1992, by another sixteen year old, Peter Thompson, was racially motivated. It was not, although it was exploited by "anti-racist" groups as part of their ongoing campaign against the British National Party. (112) The irony is that a protester is shown in this photograph holding a placard on which appears the caption "Indian Workers Association (GB)". According to the British National Party newspaper, at the public inquiry into the BNP's bookshop, a representative of the Indian Workers' Association gave a long diatribe against *racism*, then when cross-examined, admitted that his association would not admit Pakistanis as members! (113)

Moving on to Section 4, on page 4.1-7 appear some wise words under a sub-heading *Defamation*. Unfortunately, for the Searchlight team at any rate, this is a case of shutting the stable door after the horse has bolted. The current writer will not comment further on this subject here except to say that he was undoubtedly the inspiration for this insertion. The interested reader is referred to the following articles for further information. (114)

*Bookshop denies funding right-wing pamphlet*, by Robert Bevan, published in *The Big Issue*, January 15-21, issue 164, page 24.

*Searchlight stockists facing libel actions launch support campaign*, by Lorraine Kirk, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, January 26, 1996, page 8.

*Anti-fascist articles prove rich pickings*, by Patricia Wynn Davies, published in the *Independent*, June 22, 1996, page 5.

*Time to fight a political threat*, published in *Socialist Worker*, June 22, 1996, page 11.

Moving on to Section 5, the reader is told on page 5.1-1 that the Searchlight team is "the acknowledged experts in Britain on the extreme right". Somebody once said self-praise is no praise. They ought to choke on their next words, for on page 5.1-2 the reader is told that "*Accuracy of information is absolutely vital. You must constantly check and double check your facts.*" (115)

Pages 5.2-3 and 5.2-4 relate the tale of Mary and Harry, who are said to be "middle-aged and white" and to have been involved in anti-racist circles for some years. They appear to have had a lot of unsolicited mail in Jewish and Asian names, phone calls to their places of work and eventually a postcard sent from Auschwitz bearing the legend "wish you were here". Eventually, one of their tormentors was gaoled for six months for making death threats.

In fact, "Mary and Harry" are Jewish, he was born in 1937, she in 1952, and they have certainly been stirring up trouble and poking their noses into other people's business for many years. "Mary and Harry" are, of course, Sonia and Gerry. According to the June 1995 issue of *Searchlight*, page 11, Steven O'Connell alias Sadgrove was gaoled in 1991 for threatening to kill Sonia and Gerry. The postcard (mentioned in the previous paragraph) was reproduced in the *WHISPERS* column of the January 1992 issue of *Searchlight*, page 9, under the sub-heading *POSTCARD FROM HELL*; it bore the legend: WISH YOU WERE HERE (BETWEEN 1941-1945), and was addressed to "Mr Gable".

This is rather amusing, and it is hardly surprising that a hatemonger like Gable should be singled out and "victimised" in this manner. Obviously, being a thick-skinned individual, he would have been somewhat less distressed than the local rabbi at this, although one cannot of course condone death threats, even against someone as obnoxious as Gable.

Page 5.2-6 wisely advises "anti-fascists" not to lower themselves into the gutter by making abusive phone calls themselves. This is sound advice, however, on page 5.3-3 they are advised to disrupt public meetings held by fascist parties at election time, (don't forget, they decide who is a fascist). All manner of other tactics are advocated but the Searchlight team are not so stupid as to incite people to break the law. At least, not in this publication.

As part of their tactics for preventing "fascists" holding any meetings at all, the Searchlight team advocate (on page 5.4-1) talking to the owners of venues and pressurising breweries, among other things. Exactly what they should say to these people is left to the imagination, but such pep talks are, obviously, fertile grounds for innuendo and veiled threats, indeed, the late Rabbi Meir Kahane exposed the dirty tricks employed by the American Jewish Establishment to prevent him holding meetings in his (inadvertently hilarious) book *Uncomfortable Questions For Comfortable Jews*. The same methods are used by Jewish and "anti-fascist" organisations on this side of the Atlantic. (116)

On pages 5.5-2 and 5.5-3 is recounted the story of the misnamed Battle of Waterloo, which took place on September 12, 1992. This is a straightforward description of how a group of young "Nazis" were prevented from holding a concert on private property by mass action of "anti-fascists". Another example of the Searchlight Educational Trust's commitment to democracy.

On page 5.10-1 the reader is informed that "Searchlight stands firmly by the principle that racists and fascists should be denied a platform in the media..." Or any platform at all. This tactic has been used repeatedly by the organised left since the end of the Second World War, and the threat of gas chambers has been wielded over the heads of the Anglo-Saxon populace like the threat of hellfire and brimstone was wielded over the good Christian people of England in Mediaeval times. (117) Of course, as always, they decide who is a fascist, who is a *racist*, an anti-Semite, a Nazi, or other untouchable.

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

"Accurate and careful reporting of them can damage their ability to gain new members or supporters." They should choke on their words.

Page 5.10-5 publishes a sample press release. It is dated 30 March 1994 and announces that Combat 18 is planning football violence at a Germany v England match. Some people, especially police officers, might interpret this as suspicious foreknowledge and ask where this information came from. Especially as *Searchlight* magazine claims to run "moles" inside Combat 18 and other groups. (118)

Page 6.2-3 reports the case of an alleged fascist youth worker named Gordon Stridiron who was dismissed from his position as a voluntary youth worker. Stridiron's employment is said to have been "a serious situation". The current writer is not *au fait* with the facts of this case, but I would point out again that in the late fifties and early sixties, the British Nazi leader Colin Jordan was employed as a teacher by the local authority in Coventry, and he was only dismissed when his political activities interfered with his teaching. There is no indication that this was the case with Gordon Stridiron.

Pages 6.3-1 and 6.3-2 present a rather stupid - and obviously biased - quiz, specifically aimed at the indoctrination of the young.

On page 6.3-2 we are told that the largest immigrant group in Britain is the Irish! This will come as a shock indeed to Britain's Asian population, who by now own probably half the small retail outlets in Britain. (119)

On the same page we are informed that "According to Home Office figures, West Indians are 36 times more likely than white people to be the victims of violent crime. Asians are 50 times more likely." These figures are every bit as credible as the claim that Prince Charles comes from Greece! (120)

On page 6.4-1 we are reminded again that the largest immigrant group in Britain is the Irish. And are told that most immigrants to Britain are white from Europe, Canada, USA and Australia. Again, this is not so much a fallacy as an outright lie.

After the Second World War, "there was a shortage of workers and black people were brought in to do the jobs that white workers rejected because they were badly paid, dirty or dangerous and involved long, unsocial hours."

This tea and sympathy for the underdog, the poor, *oppressed* blacks, is all very touching, but what about empathising with the working classes of Britain? The fact is that there was no such thing as a shortage of workers in Britain after the Second World War because there is no such thing as a shortage of workers; what there is, is the law of supply and demand. If jobs were "badly paid, dirty or dangerous and involved long, unsocial hours", the organised left should have lobbied for higher wages, better working conditions and shorter hours, as trades unions have done since they were formed. In a free market situation, or as near a free market situation as was possible under the then current "capitalist" system, employers would have had no alternative but to pay higher wages, improve working conditions and shorten working hours. What actually happened?

The government of the day recruited blacks in the West Indies to undercut British workers and to hold wages down. And although there was fierce opposition from ordinary working people, the organised left, in particular the Communist Party, supported non-white immigration into Britain. (121)

Exactly how did this massive wave of non-white immigration, and subsequent waves, benefit ordinary white British working people? The answer has to be not a lot! Instead of a "shortage of workers" there is a "shortage of jobs". There are still jobs which are badly paid, dirty and dangerous, many people still have to work long hours to provide for their families, and on top of that we have had race riots, hundreds of millions spent on forced race-mixing and spurious "race relations" exercises, and have seen three repressive pieces of legislation, successive "race relations" acts, which have led to ordinary people being gaoled for daring to exercise their right of free speech which previous generations were told they were fighting to preserve, particularly against the Nazis. Indeed, ordinary people have been smeared as Nazis, *racists*, and, for some curious reason, as anti-Semites, simply for daring to voice the slightest protest against the tyranny of the race industry and the perverted and corrupt ideologies behind it.

*When Hate Comes To Town* goes on to claim that the belief that "Black people have a lower average intelligence than Europeans" is fallacious, because "Some racist scientists have in the past falsified data in order to 'prove' that black people are less intelligent than white people. However, there is no genuine scientific basis for this claim."

This claim is an outright lie, there are masses of scientific evidence in support of racial differences in IQ and other psychological factors. Indeed, the issue is not are there differences in intelligence but what causes these differences? (122) *When Hate Comes To Town* says there are other factors for the poor academic performance of many blacks, which is true, but it is most doubtful if *racism* is one of them. (123) Asians, who are often faced with language problems in addition to (bore, bore) *racism*, are higher achievers than blacks. And there is no suggestion that Jews - including the Jews who control *Searchlight* - have been handicapped by two thousand years of hatred, bigotry, prejudice and pogroms. Jews are very high achievers. Unfortunately, there is more to life than having a high IQ, like what you do with it. Mattoids rather than morons will be the ruin of mankind; surely the *Searchlight* team is living proof of that.

On page 6.5-3, it is reported that in collaboration with the National Union of Students and the Union of Jewish Students, *Searchlight* had produced a poster "exposing Holocaust denial".

The term Holocaust denial is a pejorative, an epithet, because no one in his right mind denies, or has ever denied, the Holocaust. [The preferred term is Holocaust Revisionism]. The reader is, though, referred to page 8 of the current work, and to the *Searchlight* team's own, albeit modest, contribution to Holocaust Revisionism!

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

Section 7 covers "fascists" in the workplace, and how to remove them from it. This is not a simple issue, obviously some people by virtue of their political or other beliefs are not suited to or should not be employed in certain professions. To take an extreme example, a man who belonged to a pro-paedophile group would not be suitable to employ as a child care or youth worker, even if he had no criminal convictions. But one must always distinguish between the bona fide exclusion of unsuitable individuals from certain areas of employment and witch hunting. In the United States in the 1950s, many people were hounded out of their jobs simply because they were, or were suspected of being, communists. In view of their antecedents, the Searchlight team would obviously view a return to such persecutions with grave disapproval. (124)

Section 8.2 of *When Hate Comes To Town* is called *Newham Monitoring Project*; the Searchlight team speak very highly of this organisation, but the admiration is most definitely not mutual. Rather, in view of the well documented facts of the in-fighting of the "anti-racist" movement, one is entitled to ask if the Searchlight team is not being a trifle insincere.

In December 1990, the left wing magazine *Return* carried an article in which it claimed that members of the Newham Monitoring Project were manhandled at a meeting of the Jewish Awareness Group at an Ilford synagogue, and a number of blacks were actually refused admittance. (125) The meeting had been advertised in *Searchlight* magazine, and head honcho Gerry Gable played a leading role in organising it. Six years later, there was still no love lost between Searchlight and its Asian-led "anti-racist" rivals. (126)

Section 10 is called *Resources*, and contains two sections: 10.1 *Contacts* and 10.2 *Bibliography*. A curious desideratum from the list of "Anti-fascist organisations" is Anti-Fascist Action. In fact, this organisation is mentioned nowhere in Section 10 nor indeed anywhere else in the book. *When Hate Comes To Town* was published in November 1995; up until February 1996, *Searchlight* carried a long running list of contact addresses for Anti-Fascist Action and other "anti-fascist" groups, including that of Newham Monitoring Project. Then in March, it announced that it would no longer include this list, although it would still provide contact addresses in response to inquiries.

## Conclusion

This concludes our examination and critique of *When Hate Comes To Town*. What little useful information this overpriced piece (127) of subversive trash does contain is drowned in a sea of lies, anti-British and anti-white propaganda, distortions, Marxist rhetoric, and just plain sloppy research. There is a supreme irony about race relations, in that the more money that is spent on it and the more it is promoted, the worse race relations actually become.

In the 1930s there were relatively few non-whites in Britain, but in America, which has always had a substantial black population, the social position of, especially the black man, was, with the *de rigueur* exceptions, far below that of the white man. (128) Even so, there was little if any of the racial strife and social unrest experienced today. In one sense, even though by and large the races didn't mix, America was a far less polarised society in the 1930s than it is now.

Although there are cultural differences between the United States and Britain, and although there are other factors involved, the major reason for the deterioration in race relations here as there, is, without doubt, the meddling in racial affairs by the likes of the Searchlight Organisation.

Searchlight is a particularly appalling, perhaps the worst, example, certainly the worst domestic example, of opportunists, liars and hatemongers using race relations and the struggle against hate, intolerance and bigotry as a cloak to conceal and promote a political agenda. What is that agenda? First and foremost it is an agenda of racial hatred. A racial hatred which, in spite of its being so intense, goes virtually unnoticed, because those who are promoting it worldwide have, by stealth, deception and chicanery, managed to convince the greater part of mankind that their brand of racial hatred is actually one of tolerance.

A glance at the list of Searchlight's shareholders is highly informative.

At June 29, 1991, the shareholders of Searchlight Magazine Limited were: (129)

Gerry Gable: 75 shares

Stephen Silver: 10 shares

Michael Billig: 5 shares

David Edgar: 5 shares

Elizabeth Ludmer: 5 shares

Four of the above five are Jewish, and the fifth, David Edgar, is an upper class communist. (130)

The directors of the Searchlight Educational Trust are Kenneth Leech, Dr Anthony Kushner, Baron Moss (now deceased), Carrie Supple, Robert Purkiss, David Herman, and Mrs Sonia Gable. (131)

Of the above, Leech is a clerk in holy orders, and Purkiss, a trade union official, is the proverbial token black. Kushner, Supple and Herman are all Jewish. Supple, a schoolteacher, is the author of a book on the Holocaust, a supposedly educational text, the real purpose of which is to indoctrinate (white) schoolchildren. (132) Herman is a lecturer, and

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

Kushner is a particularly vile Jewish academic whose 1994 book *The Holocaust And The Liberal Imagination* is one continuous whine about, not simply the evils of anti-Semitism, but how we (all of us *goyim*) are responsible for the Holocaust. (133)

Apart from Jewish hatemongers, the other people most responsible for the deterioration in race relations are Marxists, or "anti-racists" as they style themselves nowadays. The Searchlight team has its share of these too, and indeed the two categories overlap. (134)

These are people who are so concerned about the evils of *racism* that, since 1975, they have run no less than three accredited *agents provocateurs* in far right "hate groups", (135) and who, together with their fellow travellers and fellow hatemongers in the misnamed race relations "anti-racist" industry, have succeeded in poisoning the minds of British schoolchildren for the past three decades and more.

There is a threat posed to race relations and public order in Britain and elsewhere by the far right, but this threat pales into insignificance when compared with that of the Searchlight Organisation and its fellow travellers, Jew, Gentile, white and non-white, the world over. Exactly how to combat this threat in all its varied guises is beyond the current writer's remit, but a start can surely be made by educating an ill-informed public. Hopefully, this short study will prove a small but significant contribution by exposing these damned liars and hatemongers for what they are, as a necessary step to flushing them out of our media and institutions - particularly institutions of learning - for good.

**Appendix: *The Queen, on the prosecution of John Colin Campbell Jordan - v - Maurice Ludmer***  
**Judgment delivered 30th December, 1976** (136)

I have to announce that the restrictions on reporting have been removed.

This case was heard before me on the 21st September, the 3rd of November and the 8th December.

In this case the prosecution asks for the committal for trial to the Crown Court of the defendant, Maurice Ludmer, on two charges of criminal libel, contrary to Section 5 of the Libel Act, 1843. The defence has submitted that no such committal should take place and I have to rule on that submission.

The prosecution has been conducted by the informant, John Colin Campbell Jordan, in person. He has laid the informations, he says he has been libelled, and he had conducted the prosecution in Court, as well, of course, as giving evidence himself on oath. Let me say at once that he has conducted the prosecution with courtesy, restraint and, for a layman, considerable skill. The defence has had the advantage of being represented by Mr. Geffen with his usual skill and enthusiasm. It is however, perhaps unwise, for an advocate personally to identify himself too closely with his client's case.

The alleged libels are in exactly the same words in each case and appeared in two pamphlets or booklets, one published sometime since June, 1974 and called "A Well-Oiled Nazi Machine" and the other published in April, 1975 and called "Searchlight". It appears to be proved and not now denied that the defendant was the publisher of the pamphlets.

The important words in these two pamphlets are :-

"It was this building that housed the National Socialist Movement and where plans to bomb and attack the Jewish Community were hatched by Jordan, his wife and their S.S. Groups".

These words appear to charge a conspiracy to commit malicious damage, arson and murder and are prima facie libellous. Mr. Jordan, on oath, has denied that he has ever been a party to any such plans or conspiracy. He says the words are completely untrue. He says the allegation is likely to provoke a breach of the peace. He says he has never advocated the attainment of political power by violence.

Mr. Jordan was extensively cross-examined by Mr. Geffen as to his work and there is no doubt that for many years he has been involved in politics of an extremist kind. So, it would appear, but at the other end of the scale, have been the writers of the two pamphlets, whether actually the defendant publisher, or other persons. Persons who engage in this kind of activity must not be too thin skinned and must expect to receive hard blows in a metaphorical sense. The words complained of are serious libels but are they more likely to lead to public disorder and breach of the peace than the rest of these pamphlets? It is also said by the defence that in fact Mr. Jordan is a man of violence, contrary to his evidence. It is true that he has been to prison twice, once, after a series of appeals, for one month, and once for nine months. Both these charges were under the Public Order Act, but he says no actual violence was involved.

I am asked by Mr. Geffen (Whose submission is not really a submission in law) to say that this is a case where the time of the Judge and jury at the Crown Court should not be occupied, that no injury to the public has been shown, and that there is no reasonable apprehension of public disorder or breach of the peace resulting from the alleged libels.

There are no merits on the part of the defendant in this submission. The pamphlets seem to me to be scurrilous and disreputable. But would any useful purpose be served by committing Ludmer to the Crown Court and taking up the time of that Court by seeking to get him convicted and punished? One has to take into account also that the circulation of these publications is probably very restricted. I think no useful purpose would be served. I think that, however libellous, these are some of the harsh words to be expected by those who engage in this kind of extremist politics. That Mr. Jordan himself is capable of extremely offensive publications is shown by the dreadful letter produced by the defence and written to a Mr. Bidwell, a Member of Parliament, and exhibited as Exhibit 16.

In the result I do not intend to commit the defendant for trial. I do not think any useful purpose would be served by taking up the time of a Judge and jury in listening to the kind of arguments that went on before me in the course of cross-examination of Mr. Jordan by Mr. Geffen. Mr. Jordan has had the satisfaction of denying before me on oath that he is a man of violence and that he ever took part in any such conspiracy as was referred to in the passages in the pamphlets and I am sure that publicity will be given to that denial and that that will serve to provide him with the remedy he seeks.

There is one feature of the pamphlet "Searchlight" which was not referred to before me, but which I regard as grave and sinister. The pamphlet is headed on the front "Defend Democracy - expose the Racists and Extremists", and on the back it purports to be "A monthly Anti-Fascist bulletin". Yet on the back it prints, publishes, advertises in large letters "Forthcoming Racist Marches, Meetings etc" and gives a list of the dates and places of meetings of the National Front and other similar organisations.

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

What purpose can there be in advertising your opponents' meetings except for the purpose of attending them and creating disorder and perhaps violence? This seems to me to be an attempt to stir up trouble.

As I said, I see no merits in the defendant's case, but I do not propose to commit for trial. The defendant will be discharged.

An application by Mr. Geffen for additional costs, over and above those allowed upon Legal Aid taxation (the defendant being Legally Aided) was refused.

## Notes And References

- (1) According to his entry in the 1996 edition of *Who's Who*, Michael John Gapes was born in 1952, and has been Labour MP for Ilford South since 1992.
- (2) *London Grants News*, Spring 1996, page 6.
- (3) The Barrow Cadbury Trust is credited in the book itself, (along with Tyne & Wear Anti-Fascist Association and the London Boroughs Grants Committee). Steven Burkeman, Secretary of the Joseph Rowntree Charitable Trust, acknowledged his organisation's funding of the Searchlight Educational Trust in a personal communication to the current writer dated 4 July 1996. More recently, the SET picked up a £156,000 "award" from the National Lottery.
- (4) Which will undoubtedly come as news to the British National Party!
- (5) *Searchlight handbook welcomed by Manchester council*, published in *Searchlight*, March 1996, page 24.
- (6) *Ibid*.
- (7) You can obtain a copy of this schedule from the Charity Commission at 57-60 Haymarket, London SW1. There is a small charge for the print-out, which is usually waived.
- (8) The best introduction is the 28 page large format pamphlet *JEW-HATERS, BLACK-HATERS, VIOLENT CRIMINALS, HYPOCRITES, AND LIARS CONDEMNED OUT OF THEIR OWN MOUTHS: A Guide For Teachers And Educators To The Criminal Conspiracy Known As The Searchlight Organisation*, 2nd Edition, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (November 1994).  
For documentation on the Searchlight Educational Trust, the reader is referred to *THESE PEOPLE DON'T DESERVE YOUR CHARITY And They Aren't Educating Anyone - What You Should Know About The Searchlight Educational Trust And Why You Shouldn't Give Them A Penny: A Guide For Charities And Trusts*, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (April 1994).
- (9) There is no mention of the 62 Group anywhere in *When Hate Comes To Town*; the reader will soon learn why.
- (10) *THE BRITISH POLITICAL FRINGE: A Profile*, by George Thayer, published by Anthony Blond, London, (1965), page 89. The 62 Group might also be said to have evolved in part out of the 43 Group; it certainly had an overlapping membership. There is an eponymous book on the 43 Group (by former member Morris Beckman), which is lie-ridden, fantasy-prone and riddled with errors; there does not appear to be a monograph or any meaningful study of the 62 Group.
- (11) Hitler's birthday.
- (12) *Lobster*, issue 12, undated but cDecember 1986, page 35.
- (13) See for example *Deputies in storm over defence group*, page 1, continued on page 14 as *DEPUTIES IN STORM OVER DEFENCE*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, February 25, 1966. For some incisive comments on the 62 Group's predecessor, the 43 Group, the reader is referred to two letters by Ephraim Briskman of Melbourne, Australia, and Henry Morris of the Association of Jewish Ex-Servicemen published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, April 12, 1996, page 22.
- (14) The current writer has dated this issue to late 1967.
- (15) Formerly Françoise Dior, the niece of the legendary clothes designer, Christian Dior.
- (16) Not all the attacks were on synagogues, and not all of them were related. There was a half-hearted attack on Wolfe Busell's home which appears to have been motivated more by revenge than by anti-Semitism. In February 1966, 24 year old William Clynes appeared at Dublin District Court charged with an arson attack on a Dublin synagogue. He was found unfit to plead and committed to a mental hospital. Another synagogue - in London - was firebombed by a Jewish admirer of Colin Jordan, 39 year old company director, Aubrey Desmond Cadogan.  
For an insight into attacks on houses of worship the reader is referred in the first instance to *Church arson not rare: all denominations suffering from attacks, statistics show*, by Rick Ouston, published in the *Vancouver Sun*, February 22, 1985, page A6.
- (17) *Searchlight*, July 1981, issue 73, page 4.
- (18) A yeshiva is a Jewish college.
- (19) According to the Home Secretary, from September 1, 1964 to Autumn 1965, there were five incidents of arson and four of attempted arson at synagogues, one at a Jewish home, and 55 lesser offences such as daubing slogans and fly-posting. [*Attacks on Synagogues*, published in the *Wiener Library Bulletin*, Autumn 1965, vol. XIX, no. 4, new series no. 1, pages 13-4.]
- (20) The current writer has spoken to Mr Gottesman; he works as a shochet in Manchester.
- (21) The Mesifta Talmudical College fire started in the small hours of November 10, 1964. The first of the arson attacks was March 13, 1965: Brondesbury Synagogue was completely destroyed.
- (22) Gable's lies are not even consistent. In September 1984 he claimed that a Jewish thug named Harry Bidney had brought the synagogue arsonists to book!
- (23) "Its essence is that a 'chosen people', the Jews, are superior to everyone else and can and should trample on the rights of other peoples". [Editorial in *Socialist Worker*, October 20, 1973, page 3].
- (24) See for example *SPOTLIGHT ON SEARCHLIGHT...* by Tony Greenstein, published in *Red Action*, September/October 1990, issue 57, page 3; *CARF is back Dear Searchlight*, published in *CARF*, page 2, issue No 1,

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

Feb/Mar. 1991; and *Public Reply by CAFE to slanders by Gerry Gable (editor boss of Searchlight) and the leaders of Red Action*, published in *Nazis Out!*, Nov/Dec 1992, issue 7, pages 4-5.

(25) The interested reader is referred to the following publications:

*In Serving The Wicked Expect No Reward: A Belated Obituary For The Forgotten Hero Of British "Anti-Fascism" Richard David (Dave) Roberts (1949-82)*, "Searchlight" Agent Provocateur And Instigator Of The Column 88 Nazi Underground Hoax, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (March 1994). This eight page pamphlet tells the basic story of Dave Roberts; I hope - probably several years from now! - to publish a more in-depth analysis; most of the research has already been done.

*LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES: The True, Unsanitised Story Of "Searchlight" Mole Ray Hill with a critique of The Other Face of Terror*, by Alexander Baron, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (August 1994). This is a 129 page book based almost entirely on easily checkable public domain documents. It is irrefutable.

Larry O'Hara has published two pamphlets on the activities of Tim Hepple. One does not have to accept O'Hara's conclusion (that Searchlight is controlled by MI5) to appreciate that these people are not what they claim to be.

These pamphlets are *A LIE TOO FAR -- SEARCHLIGHT, HEPPLER & THE LEFT*, by Larry O'Hara and Tim Scargill, published by Mina Enterprises, GA Mail Order, Camberley, (1993).

And *AT WAR WITH THE TRUTH THE TRUE STORY OF SEARCHLIGHT AGENT TIM HEPPLER*, by Larry O'Hara, published by Mina Enterprises, Camberley, Surrey, (1993).

It remains to be seen if the more recently revealed Matthew Collins was any sort of "mole".

(26) Hill's organisation - the short lived South African National Front - was said to have been responsible for this eviction.

(27) In South Africa, Hill became involved in a financial scam.

(28) *Nazi-hunter becomes the quarry: Simon Wiesenthal's 50-year record comes under serious scrutiny as a pack of critics dismiss him as a tragic bungler in a prime-time documentary on German television*, by Ian Traynor, published in the *Guardian*, February 10, 1996, page 14.

(29) *Simon Wiesenthal: Fraudulent 'Nazi Hunter'*, by Mark Weber, published in *The Journal of Historical Review*, July/August, 1995, Volume 15, Number 4, pages 8-16.

(30) I phoned Searchlight, about mid-1993 if my memory serves me correctly, and, without giving my name, asked Gable if he could supply me with a copy of this film. In our brief conversation he told me that he had personally produced the film. Subsequently I obtained a copy from another independent researcher.

(31) This four page critique appears as an appendix to the book *Liars Ought To Have Good Memories*, (op cit).

(32) This is a favourite Searchlight innuendo; probably every policeman in Britain if not the entire world could be said to have "links" with criminals: murderers, gangsters, rapists, etc. Without knowing the nature of these "links", and the context, such allegations are virtually meaningless.

(33) Now a former MP; I am advised that there may be a few pages missing.

(34) Its full title is *DRAFT REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF ENQUIRY ON INFILTRATION BY THE EXTREME RIGHT INTO THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY, AND THE LEVEL OF COLLABORATION WITH THE RIGHT-WING OF THE CONSERVATIVE PARTY*, (September 1983).

(35) *Lies, damn lies...and Panorama*, by Robin Oakley, published in the *Daily Mail*, March 16, 1984, pages 22-3. [At the time of writing, Robin Oakley is now political editor of the BBC and is frequently seen making TV news reports].

(36) This is not to say that there are not decent people in the NF's successors, most notably the British National Party; there are even decent people who support Searchlight. They too are misguided.

(37) This is not to say that the police have never mounted any sort of investigation; the Column 88 "Nazi Underground" hoax alluded to above resulted in an extensive investigation, which led precisely nowhere. As a senior Special Branch officer once told the current writer: the media are interested in stories; the police are interested in facts.

(38) *racism*: "The theory that distinctive human characteristics and abilities are determined by race." from 1935, source *THE OXFORD ENGLISH DICTIONARY*, Second Edition, published by Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1989), Volume XIII, page 75.

(39) See for example the chapter *THE TASMANIANS*, in *THE HISTORY AND SOCIOLOGY OF GENOCIDE: ANALYSES AND CASE STUDIES*, by Frank Chalk and Kurt Jonassohn, published by Yale University Press, London, (1990), pages 204-22.

(40) In this connection it is as well to quote a distinguished liberal academic's view of "Imperialism". Writing in his massive tome *Tragedy And Hope*, Professor Quigley says of the British in India that: "British rule in the period 1858-1947 tied India together by railroads, roads, and telegraph lines. It brought the country into contact with the Western world, and especially with world markets, by establishing a uniform system of money, steamboat connections with Europe by the Suez Canal, cable connections throughout the world, and the use of English as the language of government and administration. Best of all, Britain established the rule of law, equality before the law, and a tradition of judicial fairness to replace the older practice of inequality and arbitrary violence."

(41) See for example Chalk and Jonassohn, (op cit).

(42) *THE FLIGHT FROM TRUTH: The Reign of Deceit in the Age of Information*, by Jean-François Revel, Translated from the French by Curtis Cate, published by Random House, New York, (1991), page 114.

(43) *Encyclopaedia Britannica, Macropaedia*, 1994, Volume 16, page 811.

- (44) *The madness of letting this racist remain in Britain*, by John Torode, published in the *Daily Mail*, June 18, 1996, page 10. It has often been said that Western anti-Semites use the word Zionists as a codeword for Jews. In the current writer's experience, Islamic extremists often use the word Jews when really they mean Zionists, although according to this article, Dr al-Masari referred specifically to Jews, including those outside Israel.
- (45) *Lunatic attitudes to asylum bring abuse*, by Chaim Bermant, published in his regular column *On the other hand*, in the *Jewish Chronicle*, June 28, 1996, page 25. According to Bermant, "To give refuge to such a man is to debase the very concept of asylum."
- (46) *Searchlight*, May 1996, issue 251, page 10.
- (47) *Two young men jailed over Asian's death*, published in the *Times*, May 3, 1977, page 5.
- (48) *Suspended sentences on affray case men*, published in the *Times*, May 10, 1977, page 3.
- (49) *Police chief wins damages for libel*, published in the *Times*, June 17, 1983, page 3.
- (50) *Police send new report to DPP on Deptford death blaze*, by Mark Rosselli, published in the *Times*, November 21, 1983, page 2.
- (51) Even to the extent of framing totally innocent people!
- (52) *racism: the destruction of civil and political liberties*, by Conor Foley and Sharron Nelles, Edited by Kate Wilkinson, published by the NCCL, London, (1993). *Searchlight* is cited as a source of information [sic] in the extensive footnotes.
- (53) *PRESUMED GUILTY: The British Legal System Exposed*, by Michael Mansfield QC and Tony Wardle, published by Heinemann, London, (1993). [This is not to be confused with an (excellent) American book with the same title on the same theme]. For the record, the suspect in this case was eventually acquitted.
- (54) Referred to as steaming, this is a particularly violent form of street robbery.
- (55) *CARNIVAL RACE WAR PLOT: Nazis planned a festival bloodbath*, by Roger Todd, published in the *Daily Mirror*, July 21, 1981, pages 1 & 3.
- (56) The reader is referred to Baron, *LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES*, (op cit).
- (57) *South Wales Evening Post*, October 25, 1995, page 1.
- (58) *The Fatal Embrace: JEWS AND THE STATE*, by Benjamin Ginsberg, published by University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, (1993), pages 13-4.
- (59) *The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia*, Volume 1, page 6.
- (60) *Encyclopaedia Britannica, Macropaedia*, Fifteenth Edition, (1994), Volume 20, page 111.
- (61) Or *Znamia*.
- (62) *WARRANT FOR GENOCIDE: The myth of the Jewish world-conspiracy and the Protocols of the Elders of Zion*, by Norman Cohn, published by Eyre and Spottiswoode, London, (1967), page 65.
- (63) For the history of, and background to, the *Protocols*, the reader is referred to Cohn, *Warrant For Genocide*, (ibid).
- (64) The BNP eventually proscribed Combat 18; since I started work on this pamphlet one of Combat 18's leaders, Paul David (Charlie) Sargent, has been gaoled for life for murder; his victim was a fellow "neo-Nazi" rather than an Asian shopkeeper. Leaving that aside, respectable racial nationalists - of which there are many - were always suspicious of this now more or less moribund outfit.
- (65) Document 2430-PS, from Volume XXX (*Blue Series*), page 470.
- (66) The human soap "rumour" has its origins in anti-German propaganda from the First World War; then, the beastly Hun were accused of operating a corpse factory. Such stories were planted in newspapers by government propagandists, including in the *Times*.
- (67) The Revisionist Historian Harry Elmer Barnes described Hitler as a pro-British bootlicker!
- (68) This idea can be found in *The International Jew*, a series of articles (later republished in book form) written under the sponsorship of the American industrialist Henry Ford. Hitler's magnum opus, *Mein Kampf*, is said to have been heavily influenced by *The International Jew*, which was in turn heavily influenced by the *Protocols Of Zion*.
- (69) *AUSCHWITZ: A JUDGE LOOKS AT THE EVIDENCE*, by Wilhelm Staeglich, published by the Institute for Historical Review, (Translated from the German by Thomas Francis), Second edition, (January 1990), page 60.
- (70) For a fuller discussion of this supposedly so difficult subject and an analysis of some of the more common distortions, smears and lies levelled at Revisionists, the reader is referred in the first instance to *HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE or NEW INQUISITION? A Defence Of Free Inquiry And The Necessity Of Rewriting History*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (January 1995).
- (71) The current writer has personal experience of this!
- (72) Or in their own toilets.
- (73) *The Encyclopedia of Homosexuality*, Edited by Wayne R. Dynes, published by St James Press, London, (1990). See volume 2, page 773.
- (74) Dynes, *Encyclopedia of Homosexuality*, volume 2, page 773, (ibid).
- (75) The wording of this may vary but it's the thought that counts. The current writer was informed about this chant by BNP activist Nick Griffin, a well-known figure on the British far right; it was confirmed by the custodians of the Mosley Archive.

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

- (76) *HUMAN BEHAVIOUR IN THE CONCENTRATION CAMP*, by Elie A. Cohen, Translated from the Dutch by M.H. Braaksma, published by Jonathan Cape, London, (1954), page 142. [Cohen is actually citing another author here but he obviously agrees with the sentiment].
- (77) Cohen, *Human Behaviour In The Concentration Camp*, page 142, (ibid). Camp brothels were established in the summer of 1943 by a Reich Directive issued by Himmler.
- (78) *Rabbis ban gay walkers*, published in *Capital Gay*, May 8, 1992, page 1.
- (79) It is a staple of anti-Semitic propaganda that the Jews have encouraged the spread of homosexuality to undermine Western values; the slightest objective study will demonstrate that it is the Organised Homosexual Movement that has been subverting organised religion, including, of late, the Jewish religion.
- (80) *Women and the National Front*, by Veronica Ware, published by A.F. & R. Publications, Birmingham, (1979), page 19.
- (81) *Who Hates Whom?* published in *American Renaissance*, November 1992, page 10. Marietta, Georgia is in the heart of the Deep South where it is the whites who are supposed to be bigoted.
- (82) *Mixed marriages put black celebrities 'on trial': Rising criticism accuses stars of ethnic betrayal*, by Lesley Thomas, published in the *Sunday Times*, March 6, 1994, (NEWS page 1.5).
- (83) This document featured in the *Searchlight* column *HILL STREET NEWS*, for April 1995.
- (84) One should, I suppose, refer to married homosexuals as bi-sexuals.
- (85) *Paedophile Information Exchange Survey of Members*, published c/o Release, London, (August 1976).
- (86) In its November 12, 1993 issue, *The Pink Paper*, the national newspaper of the Organised Homosexual Movement, quoted from a letter OutRage had written to the Prince of Wales concerning a visit by Lord Jakobowitz to the University of Wales, where he was to receive an honorary degree (something which these degenerates found offensive): "It is an appalling insult to the memory of all those who suffered in the Holocaust for the University of Wales to honour a man who endorses theories of genetic purification."
- (87) Tatchell's letter was published in the December 31, 1993 issue under the heading *Genetic policies*.
- (88) Riefenstahl's credits include the epic (and thoroughly boring) *Triumph Of The Will*.
- (89) On June 1, 1996, a BBC2 programme called *IN THE BLOOD: DIVIDED WE STAND*, presented by Professor Steve Jones (part of a series) featured part of an interview with Murray. He stated clearly that he was in favour of building up from the individual rather than down from the state, and that he was in favour of less rather than more government, hardly a fascist ideal. Jones disagreed profoundly with Murray, but at the start of the programme he made a telling point: "People use whatever genetics discovers as an excuse for racial hatred. Some feel that that means that we shouldn't do research in this area at all, we shouldn't look at the genetics of human race. I disagree because I think there's one central truth in science, which is if you think knowledge is dangerous, try ignorance."
- (90) *PUPPETMASTERS: The political use of terrorism in Italy*, by Philip Willan, published Constable, London, (1991), page 13.
- (91) Willan, *Puppetmasters...*, page 14, (ibid).
- (92) The collapse of the case against the seven Italians was reported in the March 1982 issue of *Searchlight*. In his lie-ridden book *The Other Face Of Terror*, Searchlight "mole" Ray Hill and his evil manipulators try desperately to implicate as many "Nazis" as possible in Bologna (and other "plots"). For a critical evaluation of this the reader is referred to the current writer's authoritative study *LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES*, (op cit).
- (93) *GUNS TO THE RIGHT*, published in *Searchlight*, August 1981, issue 74, page 3.
- (94) *Guns To The Right*, (ibid).
- (95) *The Far Right in Contemporary Britain*, by Gerry Gable is Chapter Twelve of the book *Neo-Fascism in Europe*, Edited by Luciano Cheles, Ronnie Ferguson and Michalina Vaughan, published by Longman, London and New York, (1991). Gable's contribution runs from pages 245-63.
- (96) Gable, *The Far Right in Contemporary Britain*, page 247, (ibid).
- (97) Gable, *The Far Right in Contemporary Britain*, pages 254 & 259, (ibid).
- (98) Gable, *The Far Right in Contemporary Britain*, page 247, (ibid).
- (99) For documentation on Column 88 the reader is referred to the short study *In Serving The Wicked Expect No Reward: A Belated Obituary For The Forgotten Hero Of British "Anti-Fascism" Richard David (Dave) Roberts (1949-82)*, "Searchlight" Agent Provocateur And Instigator Of The Column 88 Nazi Underground Hoax, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (March 1994).
- (100) The first reference the current writer has found to this new hypothesis of Column 88 is in the April 1993 issue of *Searchlight* where it is claimed that Column 88 was "a honey-trap organisation controlled by British intelligence".
- (101) Although *Spearhead* supports the British National Party, it is owned, and always has been owned, by John Tyndall, who has kept the magazine running more or less continuously for more than three decades, no mean achievement. With regard to Griffin, when he joined the BNP, this former National Front time-server was designated Tyndall's successor, (private information).
- (102) August 2 was the day Hitler became Führer, on the death of Hindenburg. It is also my birthday. It remains to be seen which of these trivia is the more significant.
- (103) Private information.

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

- (104) Red Action is a pro-IRA "anti-fascist" group which split from the Socialist Workers Party in the early 80s. They have since turned against Searchlight and in particular against Gable.
- (105) *A LIE TOO FAR: SEARCHLIGHT, HEPPLER & THE LEFT*, by Larry O'Hara and Tim Scargill, produced by Mina Enterprises, (1993), page 23.
- (106) According to an article in the *Los Angeles Times Magazine* for December 12, 1993, Klassen was a Gentile Russian immigrant.
- (107) There appears to be no doubt about the authenticity of this letter. For the full, sick, lurid story of Harry Bidney, the reader is referred to *A Revisionist History Of The 1960s Synagogue Arsons* and *The Life And "Crimes" Of John Colin Campbell Jordan*, (op cit).
- (108) An advertisement for *Racial Loyalty* appeared in the February 1992 and July 1992 issues of *Spearhead*. This appears to be the limit of the BNP's involvement with this poison.
- (109) Newland had then just resigned from the Party; he had been its press officer.
- (110) A newsletter run by former Blackshirts.
- (111) *THE 43 GROUP: the untold story of their fight against fascism*, by Morris Beckman, Foreword by Vidal Sassoon, published by Centerprise Publications, London, (1992). And *THE 43 GROUP* (no subtitle) published by Centerprise Publications, London, Second Edition - in paperback, (1993).
- (112) See for example *Teenager receives life sentence for boy's murder*, published in *News Shopper*, March 3, 1993, page 4. Here, Detective Superintendent Douglas Auld, who headed the murder inquiry, is quoted thus: "Although we have been aware of the racist question from the start of the inquiry, I personally do not consider it was a racist attack."
- (113) *BN BRIEFING: ENQUIRY DIARY*, published in *British Nationalist*, June 1995, page 5. The current writer was told this by Michael Newland, who at the time was the Party's press officer. Newland claimed too that the only "racial" attack in the area in recent times had been by members of the Socialist Workers Party on a black police officer during the October 1993 Welling riot!
- (114) The reader is warned that these articles are both extremely biased and, in places, factually inaccurate. They will, nevertheless, prove instructive.
- (115) Bold in original.
- (116) "I have seen Jewish Establishments in the United States pressure hotels and halls to cancel contracts for meetings..." These involved threats of "possible violence" and losing Jewish business. [From *Uncomfortable Questions For Comfortable Jews*, by Rabbi Meir Kahane, published by Lyle Stuart Inc., Secaucus, New Jersey, (1987), pages 11 & 41 respectively.]
- American-born Kahane was a Jewish extremist who advocated the expulsion of Arabs from Israel; he was denounced hysterically in Israel as a fascist, and his Kach Party was ostracised the same way "fascists" are ostracised in the West.
- (117) With apologies to Richard "Harwood".
- (118) For example, in the September 1995 issue of *Searchlight*, Gable claimed that half a dozen of his "moles" were present at a recent British National Party meeting.
- (119) See for example *Asians show the way*, published in *The New Standard* \* (CLOSING PRICES), May 18, 1981, page 5.
- \* Is the *Evening Standard*.
- (120) His father the Duke of Edinburgh, is actually of Greek origin.
- (121) In 1955 the Communist Party of Great Britain published a pamphlet called *No Colour Bar for Britain* in which, among other things, it called for "Any racial discrimination to be made a penal offence" [yes, a *penal* offence] and for an end to all immigration controls.
- (122) The distinguished psychologist Hans Eysenck (now deceased) argues that the only place such debate exists is in the media, which refuses to face the facts. See for example *EYSENCK AND THE NAZIS: Another "Searchlight" Smear Exposed And Refuted*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (1994).
- (123) Blacks in particular have fared very badly from the break up of the traditional extended family, but the reasons for the ever-growing underclass - white as well as non-white - are far too complex to discuss here.
- (124) The communist antecedents of the Searchlight team are well documented. In the 1960s, Gerry Gable was a member of the Communist Party and actually stood as a Communist candidate in 1962. The late Maurice Ludmer who edited *Searchlight*, was a communist. Graeme Atkinson, the magazine's European editor, worked for the *Morning Star* newspaper (he was sacked in 1986). Searchlight "mole" Richard David (Dave) Roberts (1949-82) was also a time-serving communist.
- (125) *Black People Banned From Meeting*, published in *Return*, December 1990, issue 5, page 5. "Jasbir Singh, the Secretary of NMP, was forced to spreadeagle his body against the wall and undergo and [sic] intimate body search, including a search of his hair. Not a single white person was subjected to such humiliating treatment."
- (126) The reason for this is, unsurprisingly, ideological, in particular Searchlight's refusal to condemn the Master Race philosophy of political Zionism.
- (127) It retails for £15, £20 & £30 to individuals, "community groups" and organisations respectively. Plus £4 postage and packing in each case.
- (128) In spite of their constituting an underclass, there have been wealthy blacks in the United States since the 19th Century at least. There were even black slave owners in the South!

## HATE COMES TO TOWN

- (129) This information was extracted from accounts filed with Companies House.
- (130) Elizabeth Ludmer is the widow of Maurice Ludmer, who edited *Searchlight* until his death in 1981. Michael Billig is an academic.
- (131) This was in 1992; the 1997 accounts show a somewhat more eclectic mix, but the ethos of anti-white hatred remains the same.
- (132) *From Prejudice To Genocide Learning about the Holocaust*, by Carrie Supple, with a Foreword by Martin Gilbert, published by Trentham Books, Stoke-on-Trent, (1993).
- (133) For a detailed critique of this piece of poison the reader is referred to *THE HOLOCAUST NEEDS A LIBERAL IMAGINATION: A Critique Of Kushner's Chutzpah*, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (June 1995).
- (134) Both Gerry Gable and the late Maurice Ludmer were communists as well as racial Jews.
- (135) See for example Baron, *JEW-HATERS, BLACK-HATERS, VIOLENT CRIMINALS...*, (op cit).
- (136) By Birmingham Stipendiary Magistrate Mr John Milward.

***Published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing,***

***Distributed by InfoText Manuscripts,  
93c Venner Road,  
Sydenham,  
London SE26 5HU.  
England.***

***ISBN 1 898318 54 9***